

I. INTRODUCTION

America's tradition of real freedom is no respecter of persons. This is especially important in contemporary America because the Democratic Party is again the party of slavery. And the reason is collectivists'¹ partiality for their own tribalism, familism², and other personal failings, which is what the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* were designed to help prevent, combat, and destroy. Furthermore, the Democratic Party is far more tolerant, diverse, equitable, and inclusive than it was when it supported the enslavement of blacks in the years preceding the Civil War. Sadly, the result is that the party encourages, supports, and prolongs the worst thing to ever happen in America's nearly 450-year tradition of real freedom: child sex slavery and other severe child abuse that includes perpetrators and victims representing all races and ethnicities, all income levels, both sexes, and citizens, residents, visitors, and illegal aliens. The child abuse also includes exponentially more slaves and slaveholders, far greater cruelty, immeasurably more filth, and incomparably more violence, murder, and death in general than the enslavement of blacks centuries ago. Additionally, now that collectivist cultures have been thoroughly exposed, it's obvious that the Democratic Party's respect of persons or partiality is the only reason why murder, sexual offenses, child abuse, illegal immigration, political corruption, and many other major crimes occur far more often than the crimes would otherwise occur. In truth, since the end of World War II the Democratic Party's favoritism has substantially contributed to creating at least hundreds of millions of the most un-Godly, evil, immoral, anti-science, anti-freedom, and unpatriotic people to ever exist in America's nearly 450-year tradition of real freedom. And they are people who have at best virtually no chance of being rehabilitated and who are too dangerous, despised, and untrustworthy to live, procreate, or roam freely. Not surprisingly, patriotic Americans favor keeping these child abusing witches from ever achieving dignity and respect or influence. For example, the beatings, condemnation, intolerance in the workplace, exclusion from legitimate monetary opportunities, and other punishment inflicted on the abusers throughout private society are evidence that they have no better than a horrible future in America. Nonetheless, despite the punishment inflicted by patriotic Americans, the abusers seek not only to immediately resume or exponentially increase the abuse, but also to destroy America's tradition of real freedom. Thankfully, however, there is strong public sentiment among patriotic Americans that the abusers deserve death. Furthermore, executing millions of child abusers and other demon-possessed people who tolerate the abuse is consistent with America's tradition of real

¹ Collectivism is the belief that individuals don't have to or shouldn't give their best effort or think for themselves because the groups that the individuals belong to make-up or provide for their lack of effort or thinking.

² Familism is when a family unwelcomely imposes or attempts to impose a component of its culture on a person whose culture does not include that component.

freedom and the entire *Bible*, which means it will result in far better government and further the high quality of life that is the purpose of America.

II. AMERICA'S TRADITION OF REAL FREEDOM

1. In Lincoln's *Annual Message To Congress – Concluding Remarks* (December 1, 1862), "the last best hope of earth" is *the enforcement of America's charter of freedom*, that "all men are created equal". Specifically, enforcing the charter meant abolishing slavery because the perpetuation of slavery was destroying the freedom already granted to the free.

2. The *Gettysburg Address* doesn't state that America, the Gettysburg battlefield, the fallen soldiers, or the living are dedicated to democracy, money, brute force, the *Constitution*, the rule of law in general, or the opinion of the majority. It states they are dedicated to the spirit of truth, that "all men are created equal".

3. Read the *Declaration of Independence*, the *Constitution*, and the *Gettysburg Address*. Are they influenced by collectivism? Is there any duplicity or fraud in the documents? Truthfully speaking, except for the lack of the immediate prohibition of slavery throughout America, there's no familism, tribalism, or anyone's proud house³ or other personal failing influencing any of the documents. Furthermore, time has proven that the documents are in no way duplicitous or fraudulent, primarily because those who wrote the documents and all of the signers were normal heterosexuals.

4. Except for the lack of the immediate prohibition of slavery throughout America, the *Declaration of Independence*, the *Constitution*, and the *Gettysburg Address* don't include or tolerate any kind of collectivism. Therefore, no collectivist public policy can be constitutional unless the *Constitution* is first amended.

5. The Founders wanted mankind to have it all, a kingdom of heaven in America. And the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* are the Founders' attempts to give human beings everything they're entitled to in the eyes of the Creator. Consequently, any culture which does not aspire to the same cannot legally influence public policy under the current *Constitution*. Sadly, collectivists want people to have far less than everything they're entitled to in the eyes of the Creator, which is why we must not allow them to influence America, inside or outside the institutions.

³ A person who has a proud house wrongly continues to behave a certain way because the person doesn't like the negative treatment or outcome the person experienced for that or another behavior.

6. It's obvious that the signers of the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* did not practice familism or tribalism. Nonetheless, collectivists interpret the documents in a manner that gives equal or special treatment to collectivists, whose family and tribal practices are having a huge, negative influence in America. In addition, the signers of the documents wanted mankind to have it all, a kingdom of heaven in America. But collectivists want conservatives to have far less while collectivists suffer from their own collectivism.

7. Read the *Declaration of Independence*, the *Constitution*, the *Gettysburg Address*, and Lincoln's *Proclamation of Thanksgiving* in 1863. Apart from the lack of an immediate prohibition of slavery throughout America, how much tribalism, familism, other personal failing of any kind, or less than genuine information is in the documents? The answer is none. And the reason is that the documents were written to further the purpose of having all that the Creator wants mankind to have. Accordingly, they are not to be interpreted from the perspective of, or to accommodate, people who want us to have less.

8. The *Declaration of Independence*, the *Constitution*, the *Gettysburg Address*, and Lincoln's *Proclamation of Thanksgiving* in 1863 are examples of normal heterosexual culture, products of people who haven't been spotted by the world.

9. The Founders were skeptical of America's ability to move forward as intended under the *Constitution*. They didn't believe that simply having the *Constitution* keeps America safe from or prevents the corruption that occurs under other forms of government, including monarchies, theocracies, oligarchies, direct democracies, and dictatorships. Specifically, they knew that success depended upon people with good intentions and good moral character operating the machinery of government. Surprisingly, as obvious as the Founders' fundamental beliefs about government power have always been, many collectivists didn't understand the Founders' beliefs until very recently. In fact, even after the 2020 elections collectivists appeared to believe that simply exercising government power and citing the *Constitution* to explain their actions is all that is necessary to make patriotic Americans believe that collectivists are respecting America's tradition of real freedom or that collectivists' actions and words are genuine, good intentioned, sufficient, or insurmountable. But nothing could be farther from the truth. Nonetheless, what made the *Constitution* so pleasing and important to the Founders is that it reflects their experience with a corrupt, dictatorial, collectivist monarchy and is a big step forward in the evolution of real freedom in the institutional or secondary realm.

10. By using the word "executed" in his famous "Public sentiment is everything..." statement, Lincoln meant that public sentiment which is contrary to public policy makes the public policy's ultimate or dominant purposes impossible to achieve. In other words,

“execute” does not simply mean to enforce the law; it also means to achieve the law’s ultimate or dominant purposes. For the pro-slavery laws that Lincoln was considering and speaking against were already being enforced at the time of the first Lincoln-Douglas Debate. But the ultimate or dominant purposes of the laws were to demoralize, dispirit, and punish normal heterosexuals, to obscure reality to the point where there could be no effective resistance to slavery, and to destroy America’s tradition of real freedom. Thankfully, the laws failed to achieve their ultimate or dominant purposes. And Lincoln’s influence in public sentiment was an important component of that failure.

11. “All men are created equal” includes a private component: we must not give influence in the private realm to any person or group that practices a culture which does not move America’s tradition of real freedom forward as intended. In particular, we cannot allow tribalism, familism, or other personal failings to substantially influence the private realm. Otherwise, we set bad examples for public officials. And the result is that public officials cannot practice the spirit of truth strongly enough, which will not keep America’s tradition of real freedom moving forward as intended.

12. “All men are created equal” includes a private component: nobody in private society deserves influence unless their culture moves America’s tradition of real freedom forward as intended. In particular, nobody deserves influence simply because they’re suffering the negative effects of their family and tribal practices or other personal failings. Otherwise, good-intentioned public officials cannot give a strong enough effort to keep public policy moving forward as intended. From another perspective, regardless of how much suffering people endure *because of their collectivism*, patriotic Americans must not allow them to have influence. For as Jesus said, “to him who has much more will be given, but to him who has less even what he has will be taken away”. Obviously, collectivists have less because of their collectivism. And that’s not a reason to *give* them influence; it’s a reason to take it away.

13. What culture must dominate in private society so that patriotic public officials can practice “all men are created equal” strongly enough? The answer is normal heterosexual culture, which always includes the spirit of truth. Thus, collectivism in private society is unacceptable, illegal, and un-American.

14. Both inside and outside the institutions, collectivists are attempting to illegally destroy America’s tradition of real freedom. In fact, requiring people to go along with other people’s family and tribal practices, proud houses, or other personal failings defeats the purpose of having a free society. It also makes it impossible for patriotic public officials to practice America’s charter of freedom, that “all men are created equal”, strongly enough.

15. In contemporary America, conservative public officials cannot refuse to work with other public officials simply because the other public officials are incest homosexuals or collectivists of any kind, support illegal immigration or same-sex marriage, or perpetrate treason or rebellion worthy of the death penalty. In contrast, individuals in non-institutional private society *must* refuse to allow collectivist culture to achieve substantial influence. Or else, America's tradition of real freedom will cease to exist. Thankfully, individuals in non-institutional private society don't have to comply with collectivist culture of any kind.

16. Patriotic Americans obeyed America's tradition of real freedom by strongly punishing homosexuality, which reoriented the two major political parties and at the end of 2017 resulted in the biggest tax cut in American history without the support of the Democratic Party. That is one example of how to keep the money flowing and of how to substantially contribute to America's tradition of real freedom. In addition, major tax cuts without the support of the Democratic Party is a requirement in contemporary public policy to keep the money flowing in large quantity, as liberally as possible, or as the Founders intended. In contrast, the tax cuts during the presidencies of Ronald Reagan and George W. Bush required support from the Democratic Party.

17. When normal heterosexuals obey America's tradition of real freedom, collectivists cannot establish their familism and tribalism. And collectivists' reactions to their own failures are obvious. For example, activist heterosexual U.S. Supreme Court judges John Roberts and Neil Gorsuch giving more rights to homosexuals in the 2020 case *Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia*; heterosexual fake conservatives in Congress supporting the pro-homosexual Respect For Marriage Act of 2022; homosexual public officials not providing a definition of "woman"; "gender-affirming" surgeries and other treatments; other kinds of anti-science public policy, including "climate change" initiatives; and recommending payments to black Americans for slavery reparations. All of this illegal public policy was caused by collectivists' failure to establish their family and tribal practices. And the reason they failed is that normal heterosexuals obeyed America's tradition of real freedom by resisting and strongly punishing collectivists.

18. The exposure of both homosexual and collectivist heterosexual cultures is the biggest, most beneficial achievement since the Resurrection and writing of the *New Testament*. Furthermore, the exposure is destroying the second-class members of mankind. Consequently, civilization is improving tremendously, at least at a moderate pace, despite massive institutional and other resistance to the contrary.

19. As Abraham Lincoln reminded his contemporaries centuries ago, large-scale slavery was imposed on the Colonists by an incest homosexual king only after the Colonists made great sacrifices and established themselves in the New World contrary to the desires of the king and many others in the public and private realms. Additionally, many of the Founders temporarily “tolerated” slavery because they didn’t want to lose everything they and their predecessors hoped, worked, and died for. Incidentally, this reminds me of incest homosexuals and other collectivists who accepted jobs that originated in the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, which resulted from the exposure of homosexual culture in non-institutional private society. Specifically, collectivists accepted the jobs because they didn’t want to lose everything they had or hoped for or suffer too much in other ways. But does that mean they were pro-Republican Party or supported the exposure of homosexual culture that put homosexuality on the irreversible path to ultimate extinction? No. Nonetheless, they accepted the jobs, spoke out against conservatives and America’s tradition of real freedom in general, and continued to try to illegally destroy the tradition, including the normal heterosexual *Constitution*. Also, the Founders were Christians who treated their slaves humanely, denounced slavery, and consequently improved the institution of slavery, including by substantially contributing to putting it on its deathbed, keeping public sentiment against it even when it was spreading, and creating a nation that allowed them to legally and openly condemn slavery. This is important because owning slaves did not give slavery its dignity and respect; *not speaking against* slavery did. Similarly, not speaking against the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act would have given conservatism its dignity and respect.

20. America’s tradition of real freedom has equality built into it: if you have truth or reason on your side, including science, then you deserve influence in public policy; otherwise, you don’t deserve influence. Furthermore, any other form of “equality” is not genuine equality; it’s only an attempt by some to harm others for evil purposes, including to establish one’s familism and tribalism and illegally destroy America’s tradition of real freedom.

21. Collectivists are the reason why our European ancestors left Europe and why America is an independent nation. For the monarchs of the late 16th and early 17th centuries and their supporters, and King George III and his supporters, had circumstances in their lives that kept them from practicing the spirit of truth in public policy *all of the time*.

22. The origin of America’s nearly 450-year tradition of real freedom is normal heterosexuals’ differences with collectivist Europeans, including public officials. Specifically, the collectivist Europeans had tribal practices, family practices, and other personal failings that kept them from honoring the preeminence of truth and reason in

public policy or giving normal heterosexuals the dignity and respect or influence they deserved all of the time. Thankfully, the normal heterosexuals believed they deserve much more than what the collectivists offered.

23. Because our European Colonist ancestors were *Bible* believers, America's tradition of real freedom is one example of *Bible*-based freedom. That is, freedom in which truth and reason dominate in the public and private realms, all people are welcome provided they are God-fearers and practice Biblical morality, and freedom of speech is great in scope. In addition, *Bible*-based freedom doesn't require everyone to be perfect human beings and practitioners of *Bible* religions. But it does require them to be normal heterosexuals, including in the public realm. Furthermore, normal heterosexual culture is as narrow as we need to be in the public realm to keep America's tradition of real freedom moving forward as intended. Also, in addition to being unwelcome by at least a very large majority of patriotic Americans, atheism is illegal because the *Declaration of Independence* states that all people "are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights" [italics added, P.R.]. Consequently, the legalization of atheism requires a constitutional amendment because atheism denies and defies the Creator.

24. It's important to understand the tradition that made America a great and beneficent nation. For if you wrongly focus on the products of the tradition, including independent nationhood, wealth creation on a grand scale, ease of socioeconomic mobility, republican government, and a strong military, then you won't be adequately contributing to moving the tradition forward as intended. This explains why Abraham Lincoln, in his *Annual Message to Congress* in 1862, described the enforcement of America's charter of freedom, that "all men are created equal", as the "last best hope of earth". He knew that faith in any form of government that is not rooted in truth and reason will not keep government from wrongly oppressing its citizenry or destroying real freedom. For government may exist in many forms, including monarchy, democracy, republic, oligarchy, theocracy, and dictatorship. But the form of government is far less important than the culture that determines policy decisions. Not surprisingly, only normal heterosexual culture can provide the freedom that America's Founders and Abraham Lincoln intended. Nevertheless, the Founders deservingly celebrated the ratification of the *Constitution* and the governments and rights it created because it reflected their experiences with despotic, untruthful, un-Godly, collectivist government and culture. Furthermore, the *Constitution* is a big step forward in the development of real freedom in the institutional or secondary realm.

25. It's fair to say that America's European Colonist ancestors strongly punished their collectivist leadership and other collectivist countrymen back in Europe by attempting to make more of their life in the New World than their collectivist countrymen wanted them

to make, but without being criminals. In fact, the Colonists weren't here to simply look around or do a little mercantilism or establish a presence to compete with other nations. They were here for much more. And we still celebrate our European ancestors for the great sacrifices they made and trials they endured to further real freedom in both the institutional and non-institutional realms. Conversely, for centuries collectivists in America have been selfishly, illegally attempting to establish their family and tribal practices by destroying real freedom. As a result, they are now considered America's criminal class, with no chance of establishing their collectivist agenda in non-institutional private society, the dominant realm.

26. Strong punishment of collectivists has always been an important component of America's tradition of real freedom. For example, our European ancestors strongly punished their collectivist countrymen by heading to the New World with bigger intentions than their countrymen wanted them to have, or with a mind of their own, but without being criminals. The Founders strongly punished collectivists, especially during the Revolutionary War. Lincoln and his contemporaries strongly punished collectivists in the Civil War. And FDR strongly punished by leading in a very anti-collectivist manner in both domestic and foreign policy even though he supported substantially expanding the size and function of government. Specifically, FDR supported the expansion of government to help Americans overcome a disaster caused at least predominantly if not entirely by homosexuals. But he never gave collectivist Democrats and other collectivists what they wanted the most, which was an embrace of collectivism.

27. In the nearly 450 year war between conservatives and collectivists, the circumstances have always determined the appropriate punishment of collectivists. In contemporary America, conservatives' punishment of collectivists includes being very personal in our interactions with collectivists. However, it's fair to say that collectivists were very personal first, including with abortion, homosexual rights, pro-illegal immigration policy, slavery reparations, and anti-Second Amendment policy, much of which discriminatorily and propagandistically lumps together heterosexuals with homosexuals. For example, illegal gun control policy which limits ownership and use of firearms by heterosexuals, even though we know that homosexuals perpetrate all murders, including mass murder, perpetrated by Americans in America. Sadly, the law provides as if heterosexuals are perpetrating the crimes, too, or as if we didn't understand which group in particular perpetrates the crimes or the role of homosexual culture. As a result, the law conflicts with the very pro-science *Constitution*.

28. Death is an important component of America's tradition of real freedom. In particular, public officials or private citizens who intentionally disrespect the

preeminence of truth and reason in public policy deserve death. Obviously, the Revolutionary War and Civil War are proof of that.

29. Public officials who don't respect the preeminence of truth and reason in public policy deserve death. That's an important component of America's tradition of real freedom and a substantial contribution to America's creation. It's also what the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* were designed in part to facilitate. Therefore, as an example, it would not be a crime if patriotic Americans started assassinating public officials who support the legal or illegal immigration of collectivists, especially incest homosexuals. Why? Because the public officials' support is treason since it results in the illegal destruction or attempted illegal destruction of America's tradition of real freedom via murder, mass murder, severe child abuse, violence, drug-related offenses, terrorism, political corruption, many other major crimes, and the furtherance of collectivist culture in general. Furthermore, there is an express constitutional right (Article III, Section 3, Clause 1) to be protected from treason and, consequently, to punish public officials and private citizens who perpetrate treason, including with the death penalty. And if the federal and state governments are incapable of enforcing that constitutional right, then private citizens may enforce it at any time. In addition, the public officials are perpetrating rebellion worthy of the death penalty. The primary reason is that the public officials' support of collectivist immigrants through knowingly fraudulent interpretations of the *Constitution* can destroy America's tradition of real freedom or could have destroyed it before patriotic Americans learned that the immigrants are overwhelmingly collectivists and incest homosexuals.

30. It would not be illegal for patriotic Americans to assassinate public officials who illegally raise taxes and implement other anti-business, anti-growth public policies. For the policies are against the monetary prosperity that Americans are entitled to under the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*. That is, the policies are contrary to original intent. Furthermore, the *public officials'* intention is to unconstitutionally punish conservatives, including by impoverishing or severely limiting conservatives' ability to achieve large-scale monetary prosperity and by making America far less enjoyable for conservatives.

31. Patriotic Americans must focus on removing collectivist public officials from office as soon as possible. This includes impeachment and prosecution for treason, rebellion, and other crimes, and showing up at the polls in record numbers. The alternative is allowing the public officials to serve for however long it will be before they retire or die of natural causes. In addition, for many people impeaching, prosecuting, or voting out of office collectivist public officials is preferable to assassinations. However, provided the assassins put the personal matters out front, including the role of incest homosexual

culture in the treason and rebellion, and keep them there while they fulfill their function, there's nothing they can be lawfully prosecuted for unless they unnecessarily damage the target collectivist public official's property, harm an innocent person, or damage an innocent person's property. Also, if the assassins put the personal matters out front and keep them there, prosecuting them will be a huge poison pill. In fact, anyone seeking to prosecute would first have to judge the personal matters on the merits, which I believe will easily favor the assassins. Nonetheless, prosecuting the assassins *without* judging the personal matters on the merits will destroy the justice system as we know it along with many if not all persons in the justice system who participate in the prosecutions.

32. Patriotic Americans who exercise the lethal facet of America's tradition of real freedom must place the personal issues at the forefront of their explanations. In other words, they must explain how the corrupt public officials' tribalism and familism, including filthy sexuality and severe child abuse, contribute to the public officials' illegal, ruinous criminal activity. Consequently, and if necessary, other public officials must judge patriotic Americans' explanations on the merits. Not surprisingly, the facts overwhelmingly favor patriotic Americans. But if other public officials don't decide the matter on the merits, and charge the patriots with murder, they swallow a poison pill that will have a tremendous negative effect on collectivists in government and throughout America, especially in the justice system, and possibly destroy and recreate government as we know it.

33. It's easy to see the limits of government and of the criminal justice system in particular when considering the negative influence of incest homosexuality throughout America. Furthermore, there are a substantial number of heterosexual fake conservatives and non-incest homosexuals in government who have much in common with incest homosexuals. Specifically, all three groups failed to establish their family and tribal practices and aim to keep normal heterosexuals from having it all, including in public policy. As a result, private citizens can't be legally prosecuted for punishing in circumstances where government is incapable of handling and resolving substantial threats to America's tradition of real freedom, especially when the guilt of the people being punished has already been scientifically and indisputably proven. Otherwise, the prosecution will be a poison pill that will have tremendous negative effects on people contributing to the prosecution, in the rest of the justice system, and throughout government in general.

34. America continues to move in an increasingly conservative direction. For example, one of the biggest tax cuts in American history was passed at the end of 2017 with no support from the Democratic Party, the first of its kind. Additionally, homosexual and heterosexual Democrats were severely disappointed with the results of the 2020, 2021,

and 2022 elections, even though they outvoted and outperformed Republicans in 2020 and 2021. In fact, turnout in the 2020 elections was the biggest turnout in over 100 years. Also, the unconstitutional *Roe v. Wade* decision was overturned in 2022 after being the “law” for almost 50 years. Moreover, the percentage of Americans who are homosexuals or collectivist heterosexuals continues to decline because homosexuals cannot change public sentiment on the homosexual lifestyle. Finally, as exemplified by the 2020 U.S. Supreme Court case *Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia*, and the Respect For Marriage Act of 2022, both of which will be discussed later, it is now overwhelmingly obvious that only *normal* heterosexuals, including public officials, can keep America’s tradition of real freedom moving forward as intended.

35. Some of the greatest accomplishments throughout America’s nearly 450-year tradition of real freedom resulted from systemic money problems. The dominant reason is that normal heterosexual culture includes monetary opportunity, ease of socio-economic mobility, and wealth building on a grand scale. Incidentally, that is what Lincoln referred to in Chicago on July 10, 1858, when he stated that his generation traced its prosperity to certain behaviors and beliefs of its predecessors. Consequently, normal heterosexuals severely punish collectivists in the public and private realms who cause a systemic money problem, which moves America’s tradition of real freedom forward as intended. Furthermore, the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* were designed to greatly facilitate monetary opportunity, ease of socio-economic mobility, and wealth building on a grand scale. And any public policy not intended to achieve the same objectives is unconstitutional.

36. Even if the Republican Party or any other conservative party were to achieve overwhelming and permanent control of government on all levels and in all branches, without *normal heterosexual* culture dominating both inside and outside of government America and its tradition of real freedom would not move forward as intended. In that case, America would still be too tribal and too familial, or too influenced by collectivists’ familism, tribalism, proud houses, and other personal failings. In practice, these characteristics would detract far too much from what we are entitled to and what is achievable under the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*. Consider, for example, the 2020 U.S. Supreme Court case *Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia*, the Respect For Marriage Act of 2022, and the heterosexual fake conservatives who supported the pro-homosexual policies. Accordingly, normal heterosexual culture dominating both inside and outside of government is absolutely necessary to move America’s tradition of real freedom forward as intended.

37. Many cultures can keep America in existence. But only normal heterosexual culture can keep America and America’s tradition of real freedom moving forward *as*

intended. Furthermore, like Jesus described the kingdom of heaven in the *New Testament*, a combination of the old and the new is required to keep the tradition moving forward as intended. For example, in the current stage of the civil war between conservatives and collectivists we've borrowed many of the ideas and much of the language from past centuries, especially from the 1850s and 1860s. But we had to introduce the new or our collectivist enemies in the war would have overwhelmed us. And the new is being very personal, openly and directly, with the collectivist population, which cut off homosexuals' recruitment from among heterosexuals. More importantly, the inability to recruit is the only factor that is giving contemporary conservatives ultimate victory in the civil war between collectivists and conservatives, which has been fought for nearly 450 years. Nothing else would have worked, regardless of the good intentions of the people trying.

38. In 20th and 21st centuries America, collectivists didn't cause another large-scale, deadly war. Furthermore, unlike collectivists' past actions that continued the war between collectivists and conservatives, collectivists' wrongdoings in post-WWII America have been openly and directly personal. And conservatives' reactions continue to be openly and directly personal. More specifically, collectivists became very personal first, including with homosexual rights, abortion, gun control, and illegal immigration. And conservatives responded by being very personal. For example, ripping the roofs off of collectivists' lives and focusing on the causes of the problems.

39. Influence in non-institutional private society is the crown jewel for establishing oneself in America. It is, and always has been, the most important objective of corrupt public officials. In essence, their abuse of institutional power is not about power itself or achieving certain policy; it's about the influence achieved by the abuse of power and resulting policy in non-institutional private society.

40. Public officials perpetrate rebellion when they support public policy that they know is not truthful or rational. The obvious reason is that the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* require the preeminence of truth and reason, including science, in the public policy realm. Just read the Purpose Clause and ask yourself if it's possible for public officials to correctly apply the Clause when they know the policy they support is not truthful or rational.

41. America's tradition of real freedom requires true Americans in whom there is no duplicity or fraud.

42. America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom is about maintaining an excellent quality of life, the kingdom of heaven. For example, monetary opportunity and

wealth-building on a grand scale, as much peace, safety, and security as reasonably possible, and expansive freedom of speech, especially non-institutional speech. Additionally, we must always maintain the preeminence of truth and reason in the public and private realms, honor the aggressiveness of the *Declaration of Independence*, and interpret the normal heterosexual *Constitution* according to normal heterosexual culture, including by being pro-science. In particular, we must avoid worshiping the false dei-ty (diversity, equity, and inclusion). That is, we must avoid fraudulent religion and fraudulent science, and pseudo-religion and pseudo-science, which favor undeserving people and ideas.

43. The *Bible* promotes a particular high quality of life on earth, the kingdom of heaven. Furthermore, it is impossible to correctly interpret the *Bible* in a manner that worsens the quality of life or makes the quality of life impossible to achieve. For example, many peoples' interpretation of Jesus' commands to forgive others and "judge not lest ye be judged" equates to not punishing people appropriately or not punishing them at all, even though doing so destroys or makes it much more difficult to achieve the kingdom of heaven. And we know that tolerating severe child abuse makes it more difficult to achieve a Biblical quality of life in America and can ultimately destroy *Bible*-believers' and all other normal heterosexuals' ability to achieve the quality of life. Just look at the Democratic Party leadership to see how the abused and abusers keep trying to make it impossible for *Bible*-believers and other normal heterosexuals to establish the kingdom of heaven in America. Accordingly, child abuse must be strongly punished, including with the death penalty for severe cases that don't include the death of the abused child. For it is irrational to expect patriotic Americans to permanently babysit child abusers whose cultures will destroy America's tradition of real freedom if not stopped, who are punching bags of patriotic Americans, and who have, at best, virtually no chance of rehabilitating their reputations.

44. The dominant consideration or issue in contemporary America is homosexuals' irreversible loss of population, which was caused by the exposure of homosexuality that began in the latter half of 2008. Specifically, the exposure made it impossible for homosexuals to recruit heterosexuals into homosexuality and, consequently, into the Democratic Party. Add to that the fact that many of the heterosexuals who would have been homosexual Democrats would have had kids that voted Democrat after turning 18 because their parents induced or forced them into homosexuality earlier in life. Thankfully, this loss of next generation homosexual kids will start minimally affecting election results in the last couple of years of this decade and significantly affecting election results before the end of the 2030s. To be fair, some of the heterosexuals who would have adopted the homosexual lifestyle and the homosexual children they would have had might not have voted at all while living as homosexuals. But this is not a large

number because in recent decades homosexuals have voted in very high numbers. In fact, they're probably voting in higher numbers than ever before because they're fighting for their existence and losing.

In addition, at least a substantial percentage of the heterosexuals who were induced into homosexuality but then returned to heterosexuality began or continued to vote Democrat because of their participation in homosexuality. Sadly, many of these heterosexuals had a short stay in homosexuality when they were young children or minors. Nonetheless, they remain Democrats for decades or for life as a result. Furthermore, these heterosexuals wanted to reduce the amount of negativity coming from homosexuals, especially the ones they knew well. So, they chose voting for the Democratic Party as a way to weaken themselves or to appease homosexuals. Consequently, because homosexuals can no longer recruit heterosexuals into homosexuality, the Democratic Party is losing that many more members every year. Plus, these heterosexual Democrats would have influenced their children to be Democrats, too, which means the Democratic Party will be losing even more members every year.

Also, factor in that because homosexuals' monetary condition and reputation are substantially worse now than in previous centuries, there will be fewer homosexuals having kids and more homosexuals having fewer kids.

Altogether, the number of heterosexuals that the Democratic Party is losing each year because of homosexuals' inability to recruit heterosexuals into homosexuality is far greater than tens of thousands. Confidently speaking, I believe a safe estimate of that number is two-thirds to three-quarters of a million heterosexuals every year. And the best part of it all is that this loss of support for the Democratic Party is irreversible because homosexuals cannot change public sentiment on the homosexual lifestyle and therefore cannot induce any heterosexuals into homosexuality. Nonetheless, if conservative voters don't at least match or come close to matching the enthusiasm of collectivist voters, the decreasing homosexual numbers will not be as important in the short term, especially in elections. For even though voting can't start or stop important movements, it can still slow down or speed up the movements.

45. Homosexuals' irreversible loss of population is the most important consideration or issue in contemporary America, especially when it comes to determining where America is headed. Furthermore, the population loss was achieved with strong punishment of collectivists who attempted to gain power and influence without giving America's tradition of real freedom the dignity and respect or influence it deserves and requires.

46. The most important question in ascertaining America's future is this: can homosexuals change public sentiment to the extent that the homosexual lifestyle is adopted by a large enough number of heterosexuals to reverse homosexuals' irreversible

path to ultimate extinction? Since the answer is obviously no, America has a permanently very conservative future, both institutionally and non-institutionally.

Not surprisingly, institutional policy, especially in government, has failed to change public sentiment in homosexuals' favor. Realistically speaking, pro-homosexual institutional policy has substantially contributed to making life much worse for homosexuals in America. Why? Because both homosexual and collectivist heterosexual institutional officials failed to change relationships between conservatives and collectivists in their favor with their illegal, untruthful policy, which was one of the ultimate purposes of the policy. The result is that conservatives punish collectivists, especially homosexuals, much more frequently and more strongly than they did before the policy was adopted. And it's fair to say that homosexuality is in the worst condition it's ever been primarily because heterosexuals know who all of the homosexuals are or know how to identify homosexuals much more easily than ever before.

Finally, it's important to note that laws that ended slavery and granted more civil rights to women and racial and ethnic minorities improved the lives of all of those people. Obviously, the same cannot be said of homosexual rights laws, which is an important reason to not compare homosexuals to slaves, women, and racial and ethnic minorities. Simply stated, the condition of homosexuals is now worse than it's ever been and continues to worsen. More importantly, a substantial reason why is pro-homosexual public policy.

III. THE BIBLE

1. Allegorical meanings of *Bible* passages help us understand the context in which events in our lives occur. In fact, allegorical meanings reveal that our lives are often alternate versions of what occurs in the *Bible*. For example, consider the following *Old Testament* passage: "You shall not muzzle an ox while it treads out the grain". *Deut.* 25:4. Next, consider the apostle Paul's explanation of the allegorical meaning of the passage: "Who ever goes to war at his own expense? Who plants a vineyard and does not eat of its fruit? Or who tends a flock and does not drink of the milk of the flock? Do I say these things as a *mere* man? Or does not the law say the same also? For it is written in the law of Moses, 'You shall not muzzle an ox while it treads out the grain'. Is it oxen God is concerned about? Or does He say *it* altogether for our sakes? For our sakes, no doubt, *this* is written, that he who plows should plow in hope, and he who threshes in hope should be partaker of his hope." *1 Corinthians* 9:7-10. Also, consider the passages in the *Gospels* in which Jesus commands us to not give what's holy to dogs or to cast our pearls before pigs, and recommends burning the tares or weeds, but saving the wheat. Is Jesus judging animals and plants? Or is he judging human beings? Obviously, he's describing and judging mankind.

2. The Holy Trinity is God. The less than Holy trinity is man, a weaker reflection and mortal version of the Holy Trinity. Specifically, the Holy Trinity is Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. The less than Holy trinity is man (who has more of God's qualities than any other living being and is the god of creation, but is not immortal without God), language or word (mankind has far greater communicative or linguistic ability than all other living beings, but doesn't necessarily communicate truth or wisdom), and spirit (which is far from being the Holy Spirit that is sent by God the Father to counsel believers and to cause supernatural events for the benefit of believers). Also, the spirit is what enables mankind to achieve great or horrible objectives in addition to basic survival. Conversely, animals probably don't have a spirit, only basic survival objectives, including fight or flight, food and water, life or death, predator and prey. But if animals do have a spirit it's much weaker than the human spirit, comparable to animals' greatly inferior linguistic or communicative ability. "Who knows if the human spirit rises upward and if the spirit of the animal goes down into the earth?" *Ecclesiastes* 3:21.

3. If you believe the *Bible*, then you believe that evil spirits or demons are the causes of all kinds of problems, including health problems. In fact, that's one of the most important components of both the *New Testament* in general and Jesus' ministry in particular. And when Jesus talks about demons or evil spirits, he doesn't mean emotional or mental problems or a bad attitude; he means a spirit being that interacts with mankind. Also, demons travel or migrate from every kind of person, including family members, enemies, and strangers. In addition, humans can be aware of or understand at least some of the activity of the good or evil spirits that interact with them and of how the different spirits affect other people. Incidentally, *1 Samuel* 16:14, which introduces an "evil spirit from the Lord" that tormented Saul [italics added, P.R.], doesn't mean that God sent the evil spirit to Saul. It means that God allowed the evil spirit to torment Saul or that the evil spirit gained influence because of Saul's disobedience to God. See also *1 Samuel* 18:10 and *Judges* 9:23. Conversely, the spirits mentioned in *1 Kings* 22:19-23 and in *2 Kings* 19:7 are good spirits from God.

4. The *Old Testament* and *New Testament* were written in vastly different styles. For example, even though much of the Mosaic Law is very personal, including laws against incest, homosexuality, and adultery, the *Old Testament* does not discuss in detail the specific cause of those and many other evil or immoral behaviors. For example, in the story of David's affair with Bathsheba, the *Old Testament* condemns adultery, but it doesn't explain that an evil spirit is the cause of the adultery. However, the allegorical meaning of the "traveler" or "visitor" in *2 Samuel* 12:4 is an evil spirit. In contrast, Jesus focused on explaining the specific causes of problems, which was a huge part of his ministry. That is why he speaks abundantly of evil spirits or demons. Consequently, the

Gospels, Acts, and Paul's letters frequently mention the Holy Spirit, which is the most powerful weapon against evil spirits, including Satan.

5. The Holy Spirit or Spirit of God, demons, evil spirits, and Satan are included in the *Old Testament*. Nonetheless, despite Satan's important role in the fall of mankind, evil spirits are not discussed in detail or *fully* revealed as the causes of many lesser problems. In fact, that didn't happen until Jesus' ministry. And one of the consequences of being fully revealed is that in the *New Testament* the Holy Spirit is mentioned much more often, and in far greater detail, than it is in the *Old Testament*. The reason is that the Holy Spirit is mankind's most powerful weapon against evil spirits, including Satan. Additionally, God created Satan as a spirit being with free will, and man as a fleshly being with free will and a spirit.

6. Fallen angels and other evil spirits or demons, also called principalities and powers in the *New Testament*, are the cause of many of mankind's problems. Furthermore, the spiritual realm is the dominant realm. "For we do not wrestle against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this age, against spiritual hosts of wickedness in the heavenly places." *Ephesians 6:12*

7. The spirit enables mankind to achieve great objectives in the natural realm, but nothing supernatural. However, the Holy Spirit is supernatural and enables mankind to achieve supernatural objectives in the natural realm. Furthermore, the spirit is dependent upon the Holy Spirit to fulfill God's objectives for mankind on Earth. Consider Paul's words regarding the interaction and contrast between the spirit and Holy Spirit, the natural and supernatural:

"However, as it is written: 'What no eye has seen, what no ear has heard, and what no human mind has conceived' – the things God has prepared for those who love him – these are the things God has revealed to us by his Spirit. The Spirit searches all things, even the deep things of God. For who knows a person's thoughts except their own spirit within them? In the same way no one knows the thoughts of God except the Spirit of God. What we have received is not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit who is from God, so that we may understand what God has freely given us. This is what we speak, not in words taught us by human wisdom but in words taught by the Spirit, explaining spiritual realities with Spirit-taught words. The person without the Spirit does not accept the things that come from the Spirit of God but considers them foolishness, and cannot understand them because they are discerned only through the Spirit. 1 Cor. 2:9-14

8. Oftentimes, the negative emotion or thought you're experiencing didn't originate inside of you; it is the emotion or thought of the evil spirit that originated outside of you. Specifically, the emotion or thought you're experiencing is the emotion or thought of the spirit that migrated from another person, including fear, anger, jealousy, violence, death, embarrassment, anxiety, lust, paranoia, nervousness, rapid heartbeat, sadness, depression, suicide, nausea, and addiction to or craving for drugs or alcohol. Not surprisingly, demons or evil spirits are the cause of many kinds of sickness.

In addition, most of the time the spirit you're interacting with comes from a person close by. However, you can interact with a spirit that traveled from a person far away from you depending, in part, upon how much the person from whom the spirit traveled is concentrating or focusing on you.

Also, an evil spirit often enters a person when the evil spirit detects that a person is experiencing or participating in a negative or potentially negative activity, including when the person is thinking about something or someone that the person wants but doesn't have, confronting a challenge of some kind, or quarreling with another person. And once a person develops even the smallest negative thought or emotion in that circumstance the spirit quickly enters or interacts with the person and provides a more powerful degree of negativity. But in many situations the spirit is the *origin* of a person's negative thought or emotion, which some people allow to increase or don't try hard enough to resist or rid themselves of. For example, when a person enters a room or building and immediately receives a positive or negative emotion or thought but doesn't know the reason why or wasn't already thinking about something or someone that gave rise to the emotion or thought.

Also, an evil spirit is sometimes the reason why words come out wrong or why a person said something a certain way in the spur of the moment or moment of weakness.

Thankfully, we also experience *good* spirits from other people, which is often described as a very uplifting, energizing, or positive spirit that, in some cases, enables you to accomplish an impressive physical feat or something else positively important or remarkable. Furthermore, in at least a large number of cases a good spirit originates in somebody's prayer for your benefit or somebody's positive emotion or thought about you or somebody else.

9. What is the Biblically correct family? At the beginning of Christianity was Jesus and the twelve apostles, all of whom openly dwelt and spoke on some of the most controversial, fantastic, substantive, attention-grabbing (especially from law enforcement) issues imaginable. Also, neither Jesus, nor the 12 apostles, nor the apostle Paul had kids. Furthermore, it appears that Peter was the only apostle who was married. Consequently, there's no requirement for *Bible*-believers to be married or to have children. Interestingly, Peter didn't use marriage as an excuse to not aggressively further *Gospel* truth. In fact, Peter was executed because of his Christian faith and practice. In

addition, what the secular world, fake proponents of *Bible* religions, and the ignorant often promote as *Bible*-based instruction regarding family, parenthood, and marriage is not Biblically correct. Sadly, many duplicitous, selfish, and sissy people promote such falsehoods to further their family and tribal practices, proud houses, and other personal failings. Lastly, dishonoring father and mother includes being defiant, bad-intentioned, duplicitous, and predatory toward one's parents, and wrongfully attempting to give parents a proud house, not simply disobeying parents. For Jesus expressly stated that his true mother, sister, and brother are those who do the will of God, not necessarily those who are his family members.

10. The *Bible* clashes with public policy and the secular world in general when the preeminence of truth and reason is not respected. Also, the *Bible* is fully compatible with America's tradition of real freedom because the preeminence of truth and reason in both the public and private realms is one of the cornerstones of the tradition. Lastly, *Bible*-based freedom includes that all people are welcome provided they are God-fearers, practice "Biblical" morality, and support broad freedom of speech.

11. Jesus preached both forgiveness and that we should not give what's holy to dogs or throw our pearls to pigs. (Interestingly, in *Matthew 7*, Jesus called people dogs and pigs just five verses after he warned us to not judge so that we will not be judged.) He also strongly supported the death penalty, including for crimes that didn't involve murder, violence, or sex. Accordingly, to understand Jesus we must put all of his teachings together and make sense of the whole, including many *apparently* conflicting statements. That being said, how do we reconcile "judge not lest ye be judged" and forgiveness with the death penalty? By remembering that we don't execute people because we're unforgiving, but for better reasons. For example, they're perpetrating child sex slavery and treason to destroy real freedom. *And real freedom is the purpose of the Bible, the Declaration of Independence, and the Constitution.*

12. Jesus strongly supported both the death penalty and forgiveness. How do we reconcile the two? By not letting unforgiveness be the reason for executing people. Instead, execute people for truly important reasons. For example, they're abusing government power, or perpetrating child sex slavery, illegal immigration, and other crimes to illegally destroy America's tradition of real freedom. And real freedom is what the *Bible* teaches us we are entitled to as human beings and what the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* were designed to greatly facilitate and defend. Therefore, simply forgiving some people without punishing them will destroy or make it impossible to achieve the high quality of life that is the purpose of the *Declaration of Independence*, the *Constitution*, and the *Bible*. In addition, real freedom for mankind is so important to the Almighty that He allowed Jerusalem to be destroyed and a large number of people killed

for opposing the real freedom Jesus taught about and promised in his ministry.

13. The new covenant in *Jeremiah* 31:31-34 is a reinforcement of the proper way to worship God. Specifically, the faithful will know God by His forgiveness of their sins, not by their strict adherence to legal codes or to ritual observance. Furthermore, the new covenant focuses on what God expects from mankind, not on how people are supposed to treat each other. And that is the same context in which *Jeremiah* 31:31-34 is quoted in *Hebrews* 10:14-18.

In addition, neither Jeremiah nor Jesus invented or introduced forgiveness while ministering. See, for example, Joseph's forgiveness of his brothers in *Genesis* 50:15-21. Realistically, forgiveness has always been one of the Almighty's requirements for mankind to be content and to live a good life in general. Also, it's not the case that all Jews were unforgiving people when Jeremiah prophesied. But it's probable that in Jeremiah's day there was an unhealthy level of legalism and ritual observance among a significant portion of the population, including the leadership, which is the origin of the new covenant. (Incidentally, the "new covenant" in *Jeremiah* is also translated as "new testament", which is the origin of the name given to the whole of the *Gospels* and writings of the apostles that, along with the *Old Testament*, constitute the *Bible*.) Therefore, forgiveness in Jesus' ministry was not a new idea; neither was that evil spirits or demons are the cause of problems. For the *Old Testament* included both. Finally, neither Jeremiah nor Jesus supported forgiveness as a substitute for punishment. In fact, the *Bible* reveals both men strongly supported the death penalty. In particular, both men were *openly* very pro-death penalty, especially regarding people who opposed their ministries.

14. Jesus' opinions on punishment, including the death penalty, are very similar to or the same as legitimate punishment in the *Old Testament*. First, there is no tolerance of defiance, which the *Bible* explains is a hell on earth and leads to hell in the next world. One reason is that defiance is an evil spirit. Also, a defiant person is an unforgiving person. Second, unlike defiance, ignorance is forgiven, apparently more often than bad intention. For example, Jesus forgave Paul's ignorance even though Paul facilitated and promoted the execution of innocent Christians. See *1 Timothy* 1:13: "Even though I was once a blasphemer and a persecutor and a violent man, I was shown mercy because I acted in ignorance and unbelief." Also, consider *Acts* 3:17: "Now I know, brothers, that you acted out of ignorance, just as your leaders did; ...", and *Acts* 17:30: "The times of ignorance God overlooked, but now he commands all people everywhere to repent." Furthermore, consider God's forgiveness of the ignorant Ninevites in *Jonah*, who didn't know their right hand from their left. Interestingly, there's no mention in the *New Testament* that Jesus or any of the apostles forgave Judas after Judas betrayed Jesus and consequently killed himself. On the contrary, it appears that Judas *wasn't* forgiven. For Jesus stated: "While I was with them in the world, I kept them in your name. Those

whom you gave me I have kept; and none of them is lost except the son of perdition, that the Scripture might be fulfilled". *John 17:12*. Not surprisingly, Judas was not ignorant; he was bad intentioned. Furthermore, while on the cross, Jesus asked God the Father to forgive at least *some* of the people responsible for or who supported his crucifixion, *for they did not know what they were doing*. Third, as Jesus taught, there is no forgiveness for sins against the Holy Spirit, which I believe includes intentional suicide. And even though this exception to forgiveness is not expressly stated in the *Old Testament*, I believe it reveals how the Almighty has always judged mankind and why He condemned some people to hell long before Jesus' ministry.

15. Jesus' warnings to "judge not lest ye be judged", which means don't judge unless you're ready to be judged, and "...with the measure you use, it will be measured back to you", are equivalent to, or alternate versions of, "eye for an eye, tooth for a tooth".

16. In the *Bible*, there is no minimum age requirement for the death penalty. What matters is the crime perpetrated and whether the perpetrator behaved ignorantly, intentionally, or defiantly. In addition, consider the Israelites' killing of infants and young children during wartime in the *Old Testament*. Apparently, in some circumstances it was more humane and logical to execute them than it was to let them live. More importantly, in the *Old Testament*, when God the Father punished people or commanded the Israelites or Hebrews to punish people *Jesus was always present, for he is part of the Holy Trinity*. Furthermore, when God the Father destroyed the world with a flood and saved only Noah's family and many animals, and when God the Father rained fire and brimstone down on Sodom and Gomorrah, Jesus didn't attempt to stop God the Father or ask Him why He can't just forgive the wrongdoers. In fact, in every occurrence in the *Old Testament* where God the Father punished people Jesus was always present, including when God the Father commanded the Israelites in wartime to kill the infants and other young children of the enemies who lived among or near the Israelites. And in none of those occurrences in the *Old Testament* did Jesus argue with God the Father or conflict with God the Father in any manner. Furthermore, not one time in the *New Testament* does Jesus apologize or explain that he is not responsible for the death inflicted or commanded by God the Father in the *Old Testament* or state that God the Father made a mistake by inflicting such punishment. On the contrary, the *New Testament* states that Jesus has always been with God and is God. Also, the new covenant in *Jeremiah 31:31-34* didn't lead to the abolition of the death penalty, nor was it intended to. For both Jeremiah and Jesus are very pro-death penalty. Consequently, nothing is falsier than the belief that the legitimate application of the death penalty in the *Old Testament* is inconsistent with Jesus' teachings or proves the Israelites or Hebrews punished incorrectly prior to Jesus' ministry.

17. One of Jesus' important functions was promoting traditional punishment, especially the death penalty, which would have led to many more executions for religious fraud and rebellion, including among the leadership. For example, in *Matthew* 15:1-9, Jesus supported a nearly 1500-year-old death penalty statute for crimes that didn't include murder, violence, or sexual behavior. Specifically, Jesus supported execution for religious fraud combined with dishonoring one's parents. And that obviously implies much about Jesus' belief in the death penalty for murder, violence, or sexual crimes. Also, a large number of people, including those in the ruling class, would have been executed by Jesus' standard, which I'm sure was a factor in his crucifixion.

18. The purpose of some of Jesus' parables is describing who deserves execution. And in all of these parables, none of the people Jesus identifies as deserving death are normal heterosexuals.

19. Consider the parable of the wheat and tares in *Matthew* 13:24-30, 36-43, including its allegorical meaning and similarity to the development of America's tradition of real freedom. As Jesus makes clear, his parable is ultimately about judging and condemning people, not weeds. In addition, the furnace of fire is not an example of lesser punishment; for in it the tares are destroyed. Furthermore, Jesus states that "there will be weeping and gnashing of teeth" in the furnace of fire, a phrase that is used in the *Bible* to describe hell on earth and hell in the next world, the punishments inflicted on defiant and other evil people.

20. In the parable of the evil tenants recorded in *Matthew*, Jesus asks the apostles how the owner of the vineyard would punish the evil tenants for murdering the owner's son. The apostles state that the landowner would "put those wretches to a miserable death...". In *Luke*, it's Jesus who states that the landowner *will* put the tenants to death. Also, the parable is considered a prediction of the destruction of Jerusalem, which occurred after Jesus' resurrection. Accordingly, large-scale exercise of the death penalty is God's punishment for harming Jesus, who is God in the flesh. In addition, notice how neither Jesus nor the apostles recommended forgiveness for the evil tenants or objected to the landowner utilizing the death penalty.

21. God allowed the Romans to destroy Jerusalem, including the temple, in A.D. 70, which was not the first time Jerusalem was destroyed. And according to the *Gospels* of *Matthew* and *Luke*, the primary reasons for this destruction are the Jews' failure to obey the prophets that God sent to the Jews and the killing and other mistreatment of the prophets, *culminating in Jesus' ministry and crucifixion*. Thus, God used Jesus' ministry and death as the last straw before executing a large number of people. Additionally, it's important to emphasize not only the large-scale death that occurred, but also that the *New*

Testament states that Jesus is God, the Son of God, and a third of the Holy Trinity, which means that Jesus automatically approves of all that God the Father does, including executing a large number of people for disobeying and harming Jesus.

22. Jesus would call collectivist, fake Christian churchgoers in contemporary America dogs and pigs, especially incest homosexuals. In particular, the familism, tribalism, proud houses, and other personal failings of these churchgoers destroyed, or will destroy if not stopped, the genuine Biblical purposes of the denominational or non-denominational churches and other organizations they participate in. Furthermore, Jesus would recommend that they be executed. In fact, in the *Gospels* Jesus promoted the death penalty for violations that were particularly fraudulent, rebellious, and defiant with respect to religious practice.

Incidentally, even though contemporary America's government, justice system, and laws are very different from Israel's in Jesus' day, the incest homosexual and other collectivist churchgoers in contemporary America still deserve execution for treason. Why? Because for selfish and political purposes they support or tolerate the "legal" and illegal immigration of people whose cultures aim to illegally destroy America's tradition of real freedom and have already made America much worse than it would otherwise be.

23. In *Acts*, Paul defended the death penalty while he was on trial and potentially facing the death penalty. For he states "If I have committed a crime or done anything deserving death, I do not seek to escape the death penalty; but if there is no substance to the charges they are bringing against me, then no one has the right to hand me over to them. I appeal to Caesar". *Acts* 26:22-23.

24. In *Acts*, God (including Jesus) executed Ananias and Sapphira, husband and wife. Why? Because they perpetrated murder, violence, sexual assault, blasphemy, or treason? No. In fact, the reason they were executed is that they lied to the Holy Spirit and all others present about the amount of money they sold their property for and subsequently the gift they made therefrom to the needy members of the church in Jerusalem. Furthermore, they were executed in the presence of Peter, other apostles, and other believers, with no objection from anyone. Finally, it's important to note that neither God (including Jesus) nor any of the apostles mentioned forgiveness for Ananias and Sapphira.

25. The Holy Spirit is part of the Holy Trinity. Furthermore, Jesus taught that there is no forgiveness for sins against the Holy Spirit, whose other name is the Spirit of Truth. *Mt* 12:31-33. For the Spirit of Truth comes last, after the Father and the Son have fulfilled their functions. Similarly, there should be no influence or power in the public or private realms for people who defy the spirit of truth, that "all men are created equal", or who do not respect the preeminence of truth and reason, including science.

26. Unforgiveness is a common reason why people don't give others dignity and respect or influence, even though they seek from others dignity and respect or influence for themselves. Specifically, many people are unforgiving because they didn't achieve the influence they wanted to achieve over other people, including establishing their tribal or family cultures. Accordingly, they wrongly blame and hold grudges against other people for their failure and don't want other people to achieve what they couldn't or to be content, influential, or successful in other ways. Sadly, these unforgiving people pretend to believe or try to make it look like that's all they can do because that's what they have in their lives or because that's what they want their lives to be about. Nonetheless, patriotic Americans must strongly punish these unforgiving people and not allow them to influence the public or private realms, regardless of how little these unforgiving people have or how bad their lives have become. And if that sounds harsh, just remember Jesus' words: "For whoever has, to him more will be given; and whoever does not have, even what he seems to have will be taken from him." Interestingly, the wicked and lazy slave in Jesus' parable of the talents earned his punishment for not making more of what his master gave him. Why? Primarily because the slave believed his normal heterosexual master was too powerful, aggressive, and dominant and consequently the slave was afraid what others would do to him for benefitting his master. In other words, the wicked and lazy slave was a collectivist who didn't want to give his master the dignity and respect or influence his master deserved. Furthermore, it's likely the wicked and lazy slave was attempting to give his master a proud house and induce his master into his family and tribal practices to stop his master from being a normal heterosexual. As a result, his master condemned him to hell.

IV. COLLECTIVISM

1. Collectivism is the belief that individuals don't have to or shouldn't give their best effort or think for themselves because the groups that the individuals belong to make-up or provide for their lack of effort or thinking.

Additionally, familism is one major form of collectivism. The old or original definition of familism is when the needs of the family are more important than the needs of any individual family member. But a new definition of familism is when a family unwelcomely imposes or attempts to impose a component of its culture on a person whose culture does not include that component.

Also, familism becomes tribalism when at least two families unwelcomely impose or attempt to impose a common component of their cultures on a person whose culture does not include the common component.

2. For collectivists, the end justifies the means, which produces inhumane results. Specifically, the end is escaping collectivism on their own terms. The means is determinative control of both the public and private realms by destroying America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom. For example, by giving conservatives a proud house and illegally exercising government power to the extent that conservatives have nothing left to believe in. Lastly, inhumane results include child sex slavery, gender reassignment surgery, abortion, frequent murders, a high crime rate in general, a poor economy, the Great Recession, the Great Depression, and prolonging the enslavement of blacks.

3. Collectivists are subhuman or a lesser form of human being, especially incest homosexuals. Consequently, civilization is far less beneficial to all mankind when collectivists substantially influence the public or private realm.

4. Collectivism is an evil spirit, which means all collectivists are possessed by an evil spirit. It also means the Democratic Party is the overwhelmingly favorite party of demons.

5. Collectivists are defiant, rebellious, proud, and possessed by demons. And many of them don't care about each other, either. Furthermore, what they care about most is changing conservatives' behaviors to their liking and keeping their evil cultures going until they figure out how to escape from the cultures in their own way. Sadly, collectivists are not giving other people dignity and respect or influence for all the wrong reasons while illegally attempting to achieve dignity and respect or influence for themselves. Stated differently, collectivists are attempting to maintain and increase power and influence inside and outside the institutions, while not being truthful and genuine with patriotic Americans. Therefore, collectivists are illegally defying America's tradition of real freedom.

6. Collectivists don't want conservatives to have the best of anything. And collectivists are willing to go through very difficult times to achieve their goal of giving conservatives a proud house or changing conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways, thereby destroying normal heterosexual culture, including conservatism. Nevertheless, collectivists don't want to suffer as they have. Unfortunately, ending the suffering for themselves and others is not as important as achieving their goal. Obviously, collectivists took their beliefs to an extreme. And they are destroying themselves partly because too much about their cultures has been exposed. Moreover, even if they don't give conservatives a proud house or change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways, collectivists believe that by perpetrating treason, rebellion, and other crimes, especially via public policy, that they're still punishing conservatives and keeping

conservatives from having all that conservatives are entitled to under the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*. Incredibly, collectivists even allow for a much higher crime rate to make America less enjoyable to conservatives even though the victims of deadly, violent, and sexual crimes are overwhelmingly homosexuals.

7. There is both a professional media and a non-professional media. Furthermore, the members of both media behave similarly. For example, the bad members of each media attempt to obtain information from other people who are not in their media without giving other people dignity and respect or influence; that is, without following the Golden Rule. Also, the bad members of each media behave duplicitously. For example, they say negative things about other people with the pretended purpose of trying to figure out what's going on with and help the other people they're talking about. However, what they're really doing is saying negative things about other people to harm the other people. Lastly, the bad members of each media are known for their tribalism, familism, proud houses, and other personal failings.

8. There is both a professional media and a non-professional media. What they have in common is that they have bad members who don't give other people dignity and respect or influence, including enough information, before they accuse or criticize other people, repeat gossip and other negative statements about other people, or attempt to use other people to gain power and influence for themselves. But they expect other people to go along with them and criticize other people even more if they don't, which leads to the bad members of the media losing dignity and respect or influence.

9. Collectivists inside and outside of government are attempting to illegally destroy America's tradition of real freedom, including by not giving conservatives dignity and respect or influence. Their aim is to secure determinative influence and power over conservatives and to obtain that influence and power through collectivist culture. For example, without disclosing enough information to conservatives or without being pro-science. But normal heterosexuals are stopping them from achieving their objectives. The dominant reason is that all of the influence or public sentiment on all major issues is in normal heterosexuals' favor.

10. You can identify collectivists by what they're willing to tolerate or unnecessarily prolong. For example, a poor economy, child sex slavery, other sexual crimes, human trafficking, illegal immigration, non-medically necessary abortions, and murder. Furthermore, collectivists tolerate these crimes to give conservatives a proud house, to change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways, to make America far less enjoyable to conservatives, or to simply punish conservatives for not favoring collectivist culture or practicing identity welfare in collectivists' favor.

11. Both homosexuals and collectivist heterosexuals are bleeding to death because they can't recruit enough people into their cultures. The reason is that America has been explained so thoroughly that homosexuals and collectivist heterosexuals don't have what it takes to entice enough people to join them. For example, explaining how their cultures are compatible with America's tradition of real freedom, including the normal heterosexual *Constitution*, and changing public sentiment in favor of the homosexual lifestyle. Sadly, abusing children is the only way collectivists are recruiting a large number of people into collectivism each year.

12. A person who has a proud house wrongly continues to behave a certain way because the person doesn't like the negative treatment or outcome the person experienced for that or another behavior. Furthermore, many persons with a proud house pretend and want others to think that the behavior is genuine or exemplifies what the person is truly about. But some persons with a proud house don't care if other people think their behavior is genuine. They just want others to give in to their behavior to make up for the negative outcome or treatment they experienced. In addition, by keeping the proud house going the proud person intends to anger or frustrate the people who treated the proud person negatively or caused the negative outcome and, in a large number of cases, other people who had nothing to do with the negative treatment or outcome. Therefore, the proud person is unforgiving, too. Also, the proud person hopes and acts as if the continuing behavior will prove successful even though there is no truthful or rational basis for doing so. Accordingly, the proud person continues in life with these failed and fraudulent behaviors instead of charting a new course. Even worse, the cause of a proud house is an evil spirit.

13. Collectivists are attempting to destroy America's tradition of real freedom from many angles and in many issues. They want conservatives to allow them to keep what they didn't rightfully earn and illegally establish what is not consistent with the tradition.

14. Collectivists practice the superiority of inferiority. Specifically, many collectivists attempt to make themselves appear as a distinct people who cannot give America's tradition of real freedom the dignity and respect or influence it deserves no matter how hard they try. Stated differently, they want the fact that they have bad tribal, family, and other personal circumstances to take precedence over the tradition or to excuse them from having to obey the tradition. Consequently, what is most important to them is that they accommodate and escape their bad circumstances in their own way. And they want conservatives to give them dignity and respect or influence in both the public and private realms, even though they're defying and attempting to illegally destroy America's tradition of real freedom, including the normal heterosexual *Declaration of Independence*

and *Constitution*. Nonetheless, while it's true that all collectivists suffer from bad family, tribal, and other personal circumstances, that is no justification for special treatment, especially if the cost is the destruction of real freedom. For as Jesus said, "to him who has much more will be given. But to him who has less, even what he has will be taken away". Likewise, if collectivists choose to stay in their collectivism they should expect their lives in America to worsen, not improve. Finally, because conservatives know everything about collectivists, collectivists will never achieve any of the dignity and respect or influence they seek in non-institutional private society without a constitutional amendment.

15. Collectivists act the way they do not just because they choose to act that way, but also because they're desperate and must induce conservatives into collectivism. For example, interacting with conservatives in a manner that's designed to give conservatives a proud house. Otherwise, collectivists will go extinct. Conversely, conservatives don't have to induce collectivists into conservatism primarily because conservatives destroyed homosexuals' ability to recruit heterosexuals. Nonetheless, conservatives shouldn't just wait for the collectivist population to disappear. On the contrary, while collectivists' numbers dwindle conservatives should maximize their turn-out in every election and strongly punish collectivists inside and outside the institutions as often as possible.

16. The last time a heterosexual perpetrated murder in America was September 11, 2001. But those heterosexuals were not American citizens, were not from America, and were not conservatives. In fact, they were collectivists and fraudulent religionists who hated America, capitalism, and monetary prosperity on a grand scale. They also hated a powerful, technologically advanced military made possible by America's great wealth.

17. Many collectivist heterosexual pigs have gone off cliffs in recent years. Specifically, many of them learned much about homosexual culture after it was exposed and cast out into the open. Then, they adopted homosexual culture (or more of it) into their own life to "see what it would do" or to help them "get something going on", including selfishly controlling other people. Not surprisingly, it didn't work. And the result is that their lives became much worse or were ruined.

18. In the negative sense, a guild is a form of collectivism. Therefore, many guilds result from behavioral problems or evil spirits. Specifically, when some people realize they can't achieve the influence they want, they drop some of the good rules they live by and join others who are apparently more organized, more monetarily or professionally successful, and who are also trying to achieve more influence. Sometimes, the guild's method to achieve influence originates with one guild member or one guild member's family. It is often the method that a person or family failed to achieve anything with and

which negatively impacted that person or family. Furthermore, the person or family stubbornly or defiantly refuses to try something different, despite the overwhelming evidence to the contrary that the method is not successful at achieving influence. Stated differently, the method is often the person's or family's proud house. Also, it's often the case that a person's decision to join a guild coincides not only with the person's desire to achieve dignity and respect or influence, but also with the person's pursuit of money or professional success. Finally, many people who join guilds are people who first treated other people respectfully or amicably because they believed they had at least a decent chance to substantially influence or gain substantial power over other people. But after they failed to achieve influence or power or lost all hope of achieving influence or power as they desired, they dropped the better treatment of other people and began living more selfishly and disrespectfully, which typically occurs shortly before they decide to join a guild.

19. Interestingly, beginning in 2009 many more messed-up conservatives and conservative leaning heterosexuals joined guilds because of the exposure of homosexual culture in 2008. In fact, the newly revealed information made them realize that they could not achieve the influence they desired. As a result, instead of abandoning their method of attempting to achieve influence and incorporating more of the normal heterosexual culture that exposed homosexual and collectivist heterosexual cultures, they looked to try on and further or elevate someone else's method of attempting to achieve influence in the form of a guild. Rather than behave more normally, they put themselves at odds with normal heterosexual culture and consequently appeared too far behind, too soft on the newly exposed homosexuals, too selfish, too proud, too defiant, and too jealous. Specifically, they tried to get something going on for themselves that was contradictory to or irrespective of what was already established as truthful, rational, and a winning strategy. Additionally, individuals who join guilds often don't want to go it alone, or stand out too much from others, or appear too independent because of the extra attention they receive and the friction that develops between themselves and others. The result is that they wrongfully pit themselves against or wrongfully compete with normal heterosexual culture to fit in more with the secondary culture crowds that are defiantly, selfishly attempting to achieve influence or power with minds of their own.

20. Members of guilds often give each other dignity and respect or influence, or elevate each other, to learn what it does to people outside of the guild. This is also how collectivist public officials behave. Even worse, many guild members resort to criminal behavior in elevating one another or in furthering their secondary culture, which makes them a gang or participants in organized criminal activity. More importantly, because non-institutional private society is the dominant realm, elevating undeserving people or ideas in it is worse than elevating undeserving people or ideas in institutions, including

government. For elevating undeserving people or ideas in non-institutional private society can make it substantially more difficult or impossible for patriotic public officials to practice the spirit of truth strongly enough.

V. COLLECTIVISM IN THE POLITICAL REALM

1. Collectivism is the belief that individuals don't have to or shouldn't give their best effort or think for themselves because the groups that the individuals belong to make-up or provide for their lack of effort or thinking. Even worse, collectivism leads to collectivist public policy, including unnecessarily high taxation and other anti-growth, anti-business, anti-capitalist economic policy, homosexual rights, abortion, gun control, slavery reparations, and pro-illegal immigration policy. It also leads to pseudo-religious, pseudo-scientific, anti-religion, and anti-science policy. Furthermore, collectivism is the origin of socialism, communism, and totalitarian government. Therefore, even though collectivism is unconstitutional it should be expressly prohibited because for hundreds of years it has significantly, negatively affected America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom; it is also contrary to America's charter of freedom, the spirit of truth. In addition, this prohibition on collectivism is especially important and relevant in contemporary America. Why? Because we've learned that for hundreds of years both homosexual and heterosexual collectivists have continued to support the illegal and untruthful policies of *incest homosexual* collectivists whose ideology originates in an extremely subjective, criminal, irrational, fraudulent, abusive, and unnatural culture. More importantly, collectivism must be expressly prohibited because it's not simply a major behavioral disorder, it's an evil spirit.

2. The European monarchs of the late 16th and early 17th centuries and their supporters, King George III and his supporters, pro-slavery owners of black slaves, heterosexual fake conservatives, all homosexual public officials, and all other collectivists throughout America's nearly 450-year tradition of real freedom have at least one thing in common: they voluntarily practice a culture that prohibits them from furthering truth and reason in public policy *all* of the time.

3. The *Constitution* has been amended 17 times since the *Bill of Rights* was adopted. But none of the amendments contradict or defy America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom or normal heterosexual culture in general. Nonetheless, collectivist public officials, including homosexual "Republicans" and heterosexual fake conservatives, adopt laws that conflict with the tradition *without* amending the *Constitution*. In other words, collectivist public officials illegally attempt to achieve "more" with less.

4. If collectivist public officials in past decades had enough support to amend the normal heterosexual *Constitution*, they would have trampled the *Constitution* as we know it. But even if collectivist public officials have the support to amend the *Constitution*, in their minds there's no need to amend it. The dominant reason is that the lives of all collectivists, especially homosexuals, are so bad that collectivists won't give America's tradition of real freedom the dignity and respect or influence it deserves by obeying its rules. For example, amending the *Constitution* to further a belief or behavior that is not truthful or rational. In fact, collectivists prefer to *illegally* change America to defy patriotic Americans, to show their disgust for the suffering collectivists endure in America, and to punish conservatives for stopping collectivists from establishing their family and tribal practices.

Nonetheless, the *Constitution* from its inception was amended on a regular basis until the mid-20th Century. However, the consensus for amending the *Constitution* was lost early in the second half of the 20th Century. In the decades since then collectivists have continuously defied America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom, including the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*. In addition, they feel they must control other people to make-up for and escape their familism, tribalism, proud houses, and other personal failings. Consequently, collectivists resort to less than constitutional amendments to substantially influence public policy in their direction, which is illegal. Thankfully, the same cannot be said of conservatives.

5. Collectivist public officials and other collectivist participants in government institutions are rebelling and attempting to undermine America's tradition of real freedom. The political component to their rebellion is that they want their fraud to be a major force in public policy and consequently make their interpretations of the normal heterosexual *Constitution* appear legitimate and good intentioned.

6. Heterosexual fake conservative public officials, all of whom are also messed-up heterosexuals, untruthfully and irrationally move to the far left in at least some of their public policy decisions. The dominant reason is that they failed to establish their family and tribal cultures. Essentially, out of envy and jealousy they pit public policy against genuinely conservative individuals and groups because they don't want the individuals and groups to have too much power or influence. Sadly, it's their way of saying that "if we can't have what we want, then we don't want you to have all that you want", which is evidence of collectivism. Specifically, it's activism, which is an example of collectivism. Also, prior to moving to the far left, when they believed they could establish their tribal or family practices, they made a deal with themselves: they'll be kinder to others since they believe they can get what they want. But if they can't get what they want, then they won't be nearly as kind to others. For example, they won't allow others to have it all or

to be too influential in public policy even if others have all of the truth and reason on their side, including science.

7. Collectivist public officials defy the “last best hope of earth”, which is the enforcement of the charter of America’s tradition of real freedom. Therefore, they should be euthanized like crazed animals.

8. Collectivists, including heterosexual fake conservatives, practice homosexual or homosexual lite cultures, including diversity, tolerance, equity, and inclusion, to the extent of elevating undeserving people and ideas and ignoring science. Patriotic Americans practice life, liberty, the pursuit of happiness, and justice. Collectivists practice crime control, which is nothing more than tolerance, partly because collectivists want to make America and life in general less enjoyable for patriotic Americans. Also, collectivists practice crime control because all of them are major criminals. Furthermore, incest homosexuals who haven’t been convicted of murder, mass murder, and child sex trafficking are very much like the incest homosexuals who have been convicted of those crimes. Accordingly, they are unable to consistently punish strongly enough. Conversely, patriotic Americans practice crime riddance by focusing on the cause of crime and consistently holding wrongdoers accountable with appropriate punishment, including death.

9. The Democratic Party is for people who practice or tolerate child sex slavery and other severe child abuse as weapons to achieve their political objectives, including making America far less enjoyable for conservatives. Interestingly, most Democrats wish the child abuse didn’t happen. But they won’t change their strategy, and consequently end the abuse more quickly, partly because that would constitute giving in to conservatives’ demands, admitting they were wrong, and criticizing their own kind. In addition, homosexual Democrats support child tax credits, visiting or helping unaccompanied young migrant children at the border and elsewhere, “gender affirming” surgeries and other treatments for underage children, and other apparently pro-child public policy. The reason is to make it appear that they truly care about the young children and to disguise the harm they and their family members perpetrated, and continue to perpetrate, against young children. Furthermore, their actions are evidence that collectivists are sub-human, and at least a substantial percentage of them should be executed as part of a war on both child abuse and homosexuality in general.

10. Collectivists are *sub*-humanists, *not* humanists. For humanism includes exposing child sex slavery and other severe child abuse and advocating the death penalty for those who perpetrate the abuse. Furthermore, humanism doesn’t tolerate or include child sex slavery as a weapon to achieve political objectives or to make America far less enjoyable

for conservatives. Sadly, collectivists are willing to “blow out the moral lights” in America to give conservatives a proud house and punish conservatives in other ways.

11. To achieve their political objectives, collectivists tolerate some of the worst crimes, even though most collectivists don’t necessarily want the crimes to occur. Furthermore, collectivists defy conservatives in order to make America a worse place to be and to determine whether that gives conservatives a proud house or changes conservatives’ behaviors in other ways to collectivists’ liking. Incredibly, collectivists are willing to “blow out the moral lights” in America to achieve their selfish political objectives, even if that requires supporting, tolerating, and prolonging child sex slavery, other severe child abuse, and the murder and mass murder of innocent children.

12. A huge component of collectivist public officials’ strategy (especially incest homosexuals) is to give undeserving people and ideas their dignity and respect or influence in public policy. Eventually, they hope, America’s tradition of real freedom will be destroyed because there will be too many people who don’t want it and who believe that substantial government power is enough of a reason to continue to live as collectivists. For if collectivists fail at establishing their tribal or family practices in private society, the next best thing is to make other peoples’ lives less enjoyable via government policy. Additionally, in their fallen state the failed collectivists still exercise government power in their favor, which is not supported by the normal heterosexual *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*. And it’s not supported by the *Bible*, either. For to him who has much, more will be given. But to him who has little, even what he has will be taken away.

13. It’s grossly hypocritical for a homosexual, a Democrat, or any other collectivist to criticize some of the Founders for owning slaves. For all collectivists either practice or substantially, knowingly facilitate child sex slavery, which is at least many times larger and more inhumane, including being murderous and sexually abusive, than the enslavement of blacks in the 18th and 19th centuries.

14. Something much worse than the enslavement of black people in 18th and 19th centuries America exists in contemporary America. For owners of black slaves in the 18th and 19th centuries didn’t treat their slaves as badly as incest homosexuals in contemporary America treat their young children. In particular, unlike black slaves, the young children of incest homosexuals are regularly murdered and almost always sexually abused. Interestingly, many collectivist heterosexuals in 18th and 19th centuries America supported pro-slavery owners of black slaves, but didn’t know the owners were severely abusing their young children or that incest homosexuality was the key component of slavery. Conversely, collectivist heterosexuals in contemporary America know incest

homosexuals severely abuse and kill their young children and that incest homosexuality is the cause of the abuse and death. But they vote for the Democratic Party anyway, which makes them worse than the collectivist heterosexuals who voted for the pro-slavery incest homosexual owners of black slaves in the 18th and 19th centuries.

15. Contemporary collectivists are the worst Americans of all time. For example, they knowingly facilitate and tolerate child sex slavery *plus* murder, violence, other sexual crimes, and illegal immigration. In particular, contemporary collectivist heterosexuals are worse than collectivist heterosexuals in the 1850s and 1860s. The reason is that, unlike the collectivist heterosexuals in the 1850s and 1860s, they know about the child sex slavery and other severe child abuse throughout America and that all of the Democratic Party leadership and a large majority of all other Democratic Party public officials are homosexuals, especially *incest* homosexuals, who've directly perpetrated such abuse. In addition, there has been at least many, many times more child sex slaves over the last 50 years than there were black slaves from the beginning of slavery in the Americas to the end of the Civil War.

16. The true story of the enslavement of blacks in America has never been told in the popular media. That is, slavery which includes the huge, determinative role of familism and tribalism, and of incest homosexual culture in particular. Simply stated, the true story of slavery in America revolves around the evil spirit of collectivism.

17. Collectivism is the cause of the large scale enslavement of blacks and the Civil War, which in turn are the causes of problems for homosexuals and collectivist heterosexuals in contemporary America. For example, being rightfully compared to the collectivists who supported or tolerated the large scale enslavement of blacks that caused the Civil War. More precisely, the Civil War and large scale slavery were caused by incest homosexuality in the lead, supported by both collectivist heterosexuals and non-incest homosexuals, all of whom wanted to illegally destroy America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom with the enslavement of blacks. Interestingly, many collectivists used to unnecessarily, wrongfully, and deceitfully blame states' rights conservatives for their problems. But now everybody knows the problems have nothing to do with states' rights or conservatives. Accordingly, homosexual and collectivist heterosexuals in contemporary America are tearing down or removing statues and monuments of people and events that made and continue to make their lives more difficult. For example, people like themselves, and conservatives who fought for the continuance of America's tradition of real freedom and defeated the homosexual leaders of the Confederacy who are memorialized in other statues and monuments.

18. If homosexuals and collectivist heterosexuals believe it's right to tear down or remove the statues and monuments of people and events that made and continue to make their lives more difficult, then their own lives should be torn down or removed from the public arena, from civilization, and from the rest of creation. For they practice the same or similar cultures that many of the people memorialized in the statues or monuments practiced, people whom they find so repugnant, offensive, discriminatory, and intolerable.

19. What makes many public officials activists is that they illegally elevate or attempt to elevate a group of people they belong to or an unrelated group of people. And they often do that to harm a different group of people they disfavor, typically, a group that is not giving the public officials and the group that the public officials belong to the dignity and respect or influence the public officials and the group desire. Obviously, envy and jealousy play a substantial role in their activism. Also, the unrelated group of people they illegally elevate or attempt to elevate is not getting the dignity and respect or influence they want it to get from the group they disfavor.

20. Now is the worst time in America and throughout the world for homosexuals and other collectivists. That's why it's no surprise that collectivist public officials are aggressively pushing homosexual rights laws and other untruthful, irrational, and unscientific public policy like never before. Earlier this century, all or almost all collectivist heterosexual public officials did not support homosexual rights. But now, because they've failed to establish their family and tribal practices in non-institutional private society, and are more envious and jealous of others more powerful or influential than themselves, they support homosexual rights. Consider, for example, activist heterosexual U.S. Supreme Court judges John Roberts and Neil Gorsuch, who support homosexual rights but ignore the fraud, rebellion, severe child abuse, and other criminal behavior that the homosexuals perpetrated, and continue to perpetrate, in their quest for legal rights and, more importantly, for influence in non-institutional private society. Simply stated, these activist public officials defy conservatives who don't give dignity and respect or influence to the public officials' family and tribal practices where it counts the most: in non-institutional private society, the dominant realm.

21. Biblically speaking, evil spirits or demons are the reasons why public officials vote in favor of abortion and homosexual rights and support the ridiculous, irrational idea that the word "sex" in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act protects homosexuals, including transcestites, from workplace discrimination. Specifically, these public officials have the evil spirit of collectivism, including activism, which influences them to decide against the truth when creating public policy. In addition, their evil spirits cause them to pit themselves against conservative individuals and groups to achieve influence and power,

not necessarily all of the time or on all issues, but enough to make themselves feel, at least temporarily, that they're keeping certain people who don't share their collectivism from prevailing, being too influential, or having it all. In addition, some collectivist heterosexual public officials are conservative in most issues but selfishly and defiantly collectivist in a small number of issues, which makes them fake conservatives or duplicitous "conservatives".

22. The significant number of heterosexual Republicans in the U.S. House of Representatives and U.S. Senate who voted for the Respect For Marriage Act of 2022 aren't normal heterosexuals. In fact, they're messed-up heterosexuals and fake conservatives because they're activist, which means they're collectivist. Specifically, all of them suffer from envy, jealousy, and other behavioral disorders caused in part by their failure to establish their collectivist family and tribal practices. In addition, at least most of these heterosexual fake conservatives were normal heterosexuals or only lightly messed-up heterosexuals prior to the exposure of homosexual culture that began in the second half of 2008. But because Americans learned so much about the causes of problems and the various groups of people that constitute America, these heterosexual public officials realized that they couldn't establish their familism and tribalism in non-institutional private society. Accordingly, in retaliation they turned against those responsible for it: normal heterosexuals.

Interestingly, in his fraudulent attempt to provide importance to the Respect For Marriage Act and hide the fall of heterosexual fake conservatives, U.S. Senate majority leader Chuck Schumer, an incest homosexual, said the following:

"A decade ago, it would have strained all of our imaginations to envision both sides talking about protecting the rights of same sex married couples".

Not surprisingly, his statement is extremely deceptive. For in 2012 the heterosexual fake conservatives who voted for the Respect For Marriage Act of 2022 had not been as negatively affected by the exposure of homosexual culture that began in the latter half of 2008 and consequently were still voting against homosexual rights. But at some point after 2012 the heterosexual fake conservatives realized that they can't succeed at establishing their family and tribal practices. Therefore, they punished normal heterosexuals by voting for the Act, which gave dignity and respect or influence in public policy to undeserving homosexuals. Sadly, Senator Schumer intentionally fails to mention the behavioral problems or evil spirits of the heterosexual fake conservatives who voted for the Act, just as he has failed throughout his career to mention the incest and other severe child abuse in his family and throughout his life that explains his

support of homosexual rights and other untruthful, irrational, and unconstitutional public policy.

In addition, like typical collectivist public officials, the heterosexual fake conservatives who voted for the Respect For Marriage Act don't want normal heterosexuals to have too much influence and power and want to punish normal heterosexuals for the negative effects that normal heterosexuals have on the collectivists' family and tribal practices. So, they defy normal heterosexuals and show partiality to homosexuals and other undeserving people and ideas. They also support illegal public policy as an attempt to change normal heterosexuals' behaviors to collectivists' liking or to punish normal heterosexuals in other ways, including by making life in America less enjoyable for normal heterosexuals. Consequently, they are antichrists for attempting to keep normal heterosexuals from having it all and anti-sciences because the public policy conflicts with scientific truth, including that those who same sex marry are either victims of severe child abuse and as a result have severe behavioral disorders or people who have severe behavioral disorders even though they weren't victims of severe child abuse. Interestingly, because these activist heterosexual "Republicans" know that they can't establish their familism and tribalism throughout private society as they desire, and must accept a lesser existence, they can't live as if they rightfully believe they *can* establish their familism or tribalism or that their collectivist cultures *can* peacefully co-exist with normal heterosexual culture. That's not possible anymore and they can't behave otherwise without being punished for it by other collectivists. Instead, they attempt to establish their collectivism in public policy and pit themselves against normal heterosexuals in private society who are the cause of their failure. In short, the Respect For Marriage Act, like all other pro-homosexual public policy, is further proof that collectivism, including activism, is a clearinghouse for people who've failed to establish their familism and tribalism as they desire in non-institutional private society, the dominant realm.

Even better, the Respect For Marriage Act only makes life worse for homosexuals, especially the ones that marry, which leads to stronger condemnation and a more difficult life in general. But that wasn't the intention of the public officials who voted for the Act. On the contrary, the collectivist public officials are attempting to punish normal heterosexuals for the public officials' failure to establish their family and tribal practices. Furthermore, these public officials don't care that life worsens for same-sex homosexuals who marry each other and for any other homosexuals who are the intended "beneficiaries" of pro-homosexual public policy. Sadly, the public officials are supposed to allow homosexuals to same sex marry because that is one of collectivists' strategies: keep giving second-class citizens who defy America's tradition of real freedom their dignity and respect or influence in public policy and eventually the collectivists will have enough people on their side to destroy the tradition or to make life in America permanently far less enjoyable for conservatives. Importantly, same-sex homosexuals

who marry have little support from most homosexuals. For the large majority of homosexuals revile and reject same-sex marriage. However, almost all voting homosexuals vote for the Democratic Party. Why? Because the Democratic Party is a vehicle and weapon for destroying America's tradition of real freedom, not a collection of groups of people who genuinely like or support each other.

Nonetheless, the Respect For Marriage Act and all other public policy must be interpreted from a behavioral perspective. Specifically, patriotic Americans must understand the reasons *why* public officials vote for unscientific, unconstitutional public policy. Otherwise, we won't understand what's really happening and we'll misinterpret the significance of the law. For example, we might think that America is getting worse for normal heterosexuals because *heterosexual* Republicans supported homosexual rights. Furthermore, it might appear that the heterosexuals who support such illegal public policy do so because they believe they have truth and reason on their side or that they genuinely believe they are doing the right thing or furthering America's tradition of real freedom. Thankfully, however, the fact that such illegal public policy is adopted, or that's it's adopted with heterosexual support, is not nearly the most important consideration. What *is* most important is that neither homosexuals, nor collectivist heterosexuals of any kind, including heterosexual fake conservatives, have succeeded at establishing their familism and tribalism in non-institutional private society because neither group has changed public sentiment in its favor. Accordingly, they are retaliating against normal heterosexuals responsible for the failure with illegal, untruthful public policy, which is rebellion worthy of the death penalty and in some issues treason. Furthermore, homosexuals are on the irreversible path to ultimate extinction and time is short. Even better, heterosexual fake conservatives' actions in the political realm are obvious examples that normal heterosexuals are the only people who can move America's tradition of real freedom forward as intended and who truly want the high quality of life that is the original intent of the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*.

To be fair, it's not only incest homosexual public officials like Chuck Schumer who hide the fall of heterosexual fake conservatives; it's also the heterosexual fake conservative public officials themselves. For example, consider the following statement from Republican U.S. Senator Cynthia Lummis of Wyoming, when discussing the "fairly brutal self-soul searching" that she experienced before supporting the Respect For Marriage Act. After deceitfully stating that she accepts the *Bible's* and her church's definition of marriage as between a man and a woman, she states:

"Why have I strayed with such anguish from a path that conforms to my belief, my instruction, my faith, to vote for the Respect For Marriage Act? The answer to that question lies in our history, in how we got here as a nation, and as a

people, and in where we are as a nation and as a people today”.

“These are turbulent times for our nation. Americans address each other in more crude and cruel terms than ever in my lifetime. It is jarring and unbecoming of us as human beings. It is highly intolerant and frequently the most so when expressed by those who advocate for tolerance. Many of us ask ourselves, ‘Our nation is so divided. When will this end? And how will it end?’”

“Just as when our nation was founded, when the New World tore itself from the old, people of diverse faiths beliefs and backgrounds had to come to terms with each other, had to tolerate the seemingly intolerable about each other’s views and had to respect each other’s rights, even before the Constitution enumerated those rights. They had to tolerate each other in order to survive as a nation. Somehow, most certainly with divine guidance, they did.”

“For the sake of our nation today and its survival, we do well by taking this step, not embracing or validating each other’s devoutly held views, but by the simple act of tolerating them”.

Sadly, Senator Lummis intentionally conceals that the real reason she supported the Act and tolerance in general is because she’s retaliating against normal heterosexuals for causing her to fail to establish her family and tribal practices. Additionally, her statements are nonsense. First, she implies that it’s acceptable for her to call herself a Christian even though she voted in favor of a behavior that is condemned throughout the *Bible*. Obviously, she’s a fake Christian whose collectivism is more influential in her life than the *Bible*. And it’s certain that all of the other heterosexual fake conservative public officials who supported the Act and profess to be Christians, Jews, or Mormons are also lying. Consequently, like homosexuals who same sex marry and pretend to truly love each other, heterosexual fake conservatives’ apparent practice of *Bible* religions and American values is fraudulent and criminal, *not* devout. Secondly, even though America was founded upon such important beliefs as freedom and tolerance of other religions, and included people of “diverse faiths, beliefs, and backgrounds”, illegal, untruthful, irrational public policy has never been tolerated by normal heterosexuals. For example, the normal heterosexuals who fled their collectivist countrymen in Europe and attempted

to make much more of their life in the New World than their European countrymen wanted them to make of it, America's Founders prior to the Revolutionary War, and Abraham Lincoln and many of his contemporaries prior to the Civil War. In fact, America achieved its independence because normal heterosexuals would not accept the sort of illegal, untruthful, irrational public policy that is exemplified by the Respect For Marriage Act. Furthermore, the people of "diverse faiths, beliefs, and backgrounds" culminated in the revolutionary generation of America's Founders, who declared independence from and shortly thereafter killed people who didn't support the preeminence of truth and reason in public policy. And they expressed their beliefs and lethal intentions about people like Senator Lummis in the jarring, intolerant *Declaration of Independence*. Lastly, the tolerance that is the solution she proposes is incapable of making homosexuals' untruthful, fraudulent, abusive, criminal culture compatible with the *Constitution's* purpose to secure the blessings of liberty and establish justice according to the Founders' meaning of liberty and justice. Stated differently, if we follow the recommendation of Senator Lummis and other heterosexual fake conservative public officials, America as a nation might survive, but America's tradition of real freedom and the high quality of life that is the purpose of the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* won't. Therefore, to obey America's tradition of real freedom and avoid perpetrating rebellion worthy of the death penalty, a constitutional amendment is required to legalize the tolerance or second-class America espoused by heterosexual fake conservatives and other collectivists.

23. It's no coincidence that heterosexual fake conservative public officials support homosexual rights to defy normal heterosexuals. Why? Because normal heterosexuals in private society exposed homosexual culture and put homosexuality on the irreversible path to ultimate extinction. As a result, normal heterosexuals destroyed heterosexual fake conservatives' ability to establish or gain more influence with their familism and tribalism and made their lives worse. And like many people who join a guild, when heterosexual fake conservative public officials realize that they can't establish their tribal or family practices as they desire, they defy normal heterosexual culture and the normal heterosexuals responsible for their failure. Furthermore, at least many of them are unforgiving because they believe other heterosexuals didn't provide them enough information along the way that might have kept them from taking their family and tribal practices too far. Therefore, because of their frustration, anger, and disappointment they give dignity and respect or influence in public policy to homosexuals and take it away from normal heterosexuals, which is not "all men are created equal". In practice, they're antichrists because they don't want other people to be too powerful or influential. They're also anti-sciences because there's no genuine scientific proof that supports homosexuality or refutes the overwhelming amount of proof that homosexuality is a severe behavioral disorder and choosing to live as a homosexual results from severe child abuse or other criminal and immoral behavior.

Also, heterosexual fake conservatives practice activism, which is collectivism, because they are attempting to further family and tribal interests, not America's tradition of real freedom. More specifically, at least a large majority, if not all, of the heterosexual fake conservative public officials who support homosexual rights have children, other family members, and other people in their lives who are homosexuals and want to stay on more positive terms and share information with those homosexuals, which will not be possible if they vote against homosexual rights all of the time. In other words, many heterosexual fake conservatives still share important information with homosexuals, and vice versa, or want to stay on better terms with homosexuals to minimize the contention or negativity, including open criticism, from homosexual family members, relatives, and other homosexuals. More obvious, some of the heterosexual fake conservatives who voted for illegal homosexual rights were homosexuals in the past. As a result, they experience more criticism from homosexuals, including homosexual family members. Not surprisingly, at least some of them respond by supporting homosexual rights to lessen the criticism or support better relations with homosexuals.

24. Heterosexual fake conservatives support homosexual rights. The primary or sole reason is that normal heterosexuals in private society who exposed homosexuals consequently made heterosexual fake conservatives' lives worse by keeping them from establishing their family and tribal practices. Specifically, heterosexual fake conservatives don't want relations between homosexuals and conservatives to be contentious. In fact, the contention makes communicating with both homosexuals and normal heterosexuals more difficult because they get criticized from both sides for associating with people who aren't giving the other side the dignity and respect or influence that the other side thinks it deserves. As a result, it's substantially more difficult for heterosexual fake conservatives to further their family and tribal objectives. The reason is that heterosexual fake conservatives are attempting to positively interact, fulfill common objectives, and exchange information with homosexuals close to them, including family members, and find it far more difficult to do so with so much resistance to homosexuality, especially in non-institutional private society. Also, many heterosexual fake conservatives were homosexuals earlier in life. Consequently, by supporting homosexual rights the former homosexuals hope to lessen the criticism from homosexuals, especially those the former homosexuals were close to, including family members. Sadly, the different groups of heterosexual fake conservatives believe that what is best for their families and tribes is to keep normal heterosexuals from being dominant or from having it all and to punish normal heterosexuals who behave otherwise, instead of requiring homosexuals to adopt a genuine lifestyle that is compatible with America's tradition of real freedom, including not perpetrating or being complicit in severe child abuse. And keeping normal heterosexuals from being dominant includes, in particular, requiring normal heterosexuals in non-institutional private society to give homosexuals and other

collectivists dignity and respect or influence instead of ignoring or punishing collectivists in other ways for unpatriotic, selfish, and other bad behaviors.

25. One of the worst parts of U.S. Supreme Court judge Samuel Alito's *dissent* in the 2020 U.S. Supreme Court case *Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia*, occurs when he states:

“For most 21st-century Americans, it is painful to be reminded of the way our society once treated gays and lesbians, but any honest effort to understand what the terms of Title VII were understood to mean when enacted must take into account the societal norms of that time. And the plain truth is that in 1964 homosexuality was thought to be a mental disorder, and homosexual conduct was regarded as morally culpable and worthy of punishment”.

Obviously, his opinion is not in tune with contemporary America and science. For example, most Americans, especially patriotic Americans, rightfully believe homosexuality is a mental or behavioral disorder and that homosexual conduct is morally culpable and worthy of punishment. Even at least a large majority of *collectivist* heterosexuals believe homosexuality is morally culpable and worthy of punishment, *which is one of the reasons why they discourage their children from being homosexuals*. However, they typically won't openly admit that partly because they vote for the same political party that almost all voting homosexuals vote for and aren't supposed to make their fellow collectivists look bad, including collectivist public officials who support pro-homosexual laws. Furthermore, all Americans know that choosing to live the homosexual lifestyle is the result of severe child abuse or other criminal and immoral behaviors, including being preyed upon and humiliated by homosexuals for participating in homosexual sexual activity. Also, collectivist heterosexual Americans are not concerned about the welfare of homosexuals. If they were, they wouldn't support homosexual rights, which are only making homosexuals lives' much worse. What collectivist heterosexual Americans *are* concerned with by supporting or tolerating homosexual rights is giving normal heterosexuals a proud house, changing normal heterosexuals' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways, making life in America less enjoyable to normal heterosexuals, and illegally destroying America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom. As mentioned earlier, collectivists' support of homosexual rights is retaliation against normal heterosexuals who destroyed collectivists' ability to establish their family and tribal practices. Finally, it is not painful for most 21st century Americans to consider the way homosexuals were once treated. On the contrary, considering what Americans know about the evil nature of homosexual culture, most Americans, especially patriotic Americans, believe that such treatment is appropriate.

In addition, Alito wrongly states:

“To its credit, our society has now come to recognize the injustice of past practices, and this recognition provides the impetus to “update” Title VII.”

Once again, Alito is out of step with contemporary America, especially non-institutional private society. In particular, America has come to recognize that for centuries homosexuals, led by *incest* homosexuals, have continuously attempted to illegally destroy America’s tradition of real freedom, including the normal heterosexual *Constitution*. Furthermore, America recognizes that one of homosexuals’ preferred strategies has been and continues to be severe child abuse, including child sex trafficking. Thankfully, the result is that homosexuals will never achieve the dignity and respect or influence they seek in America for their filthy, evil lifestyles. Another result is that, among collectivist heterosexuals, including fake conservatives, the impetus to updating Title VII is to punish normal heterosexuals for ruining collectivists’ aim to establish their family and tribal practices. That is, the impetus for updating Title VII are behavioral disorders suffered by various groups of collectivists. Or, from a Biblical perspective, the impetus is the evil spirit of collectivism, including envy and jealousy, which gained influence because normal heterosexuals destroyed collectivists’ ability to establish their familism and tribalism.

Also, U.S. Supreme Court judge Brett Kavanaugh, who did not side with the majority in *Bostock*, made one of the most untruthful, ridiculous, and perverse statements in the entire *Bostock* opinion. Kavanaugh dissented, but also voiced support for homosexuals by apologetically stating:

“Notwithstanding my concern about the Court’s transgression of the Constitution’s separation of powers, it is appropriate to acknowledge the important victory achieved today by gay and lesbian Americans. Millions of gay and lesbian Americans have worked hard for many decades to achieve equal treatment in fact and in law. They have exhibited extraordinary vision, tenacity, and grit—battling often steep odds in the legislative and judicial arenas, not to mention in their daily lives. They have advanced powerful policy arguments and can take pride in today’s result. Under the Constitution’s separation of powers, however, I believe that it was Congress’s role, not this Court’s, to amend Title VII. I therefore must respectfully dissent from the Court’s judgment”.

Incredibly, Kavanaugh entirely ignored the overwhelmingly criminal nature of homosexual culture. For example, that for hundreds of years homosexuals have attempted to illegally destroy America’s tradition of real freedom by keeping their homosexual culture a secret, including severe child abuse. Furthermore, homosexuals

have *not* achieved equal treatment in fact. Truthfully speaking, homosexuals are deservingly treated like a lesser form of human being for their perverse lifestyles and their favorite strategy for increasing their numbers, which is severe child abuse, including child sex slavery. Consequently, homosexuals have no country to call home. Even better, homosexuals' extraordinary vision has done nothing more than put them on the irreversible path to ultimate extinction. And they're not just battling steep odds, they're losing every battle they fight in non-institutional private society. Also, winning U.S. Supreme Court cases has been anything *but* positively important victories for homosexuals. For the illegal victories have substantially contributed to homosexuals' loss of support and opportunity in private society. Lastly, their policy arguments are some of the weakest arguments ever made in front of U.S. Supreme Court judges and all other judges in lower courts. To be fair, the arguments are not only in conflict with the original intent of the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*, the arguments are also consistently anti-science. Specifically, the arguments ignore established truth regarding the criminal and immoral nature of homosexual culture, including sexuality, which has made many of the Court's opinions unconstitutional, national jokes, and criminal enterprises, including rebellion.

Even worse, Kavanaugh states:

"The policy arguments for amending Title VII are very weighty. The Court has previously stated, and I fully agree, that gay and lesbian Americans "cannot be treated as social outcasts or as inferior in dignity and worth." *Masterpiece Cakeshop, Ltd. v. Colorado Civil Rights Comm'n*, 584 U. S. ___ (2018) (slip op., at 9)".

In reality, homosexuals are deservingly treated as social outcasts or as inferior in dignity and worth for good reasons. First, for centuries homosexuals have kept or attempted to keep as much as possible of their sexuality and the rest of their culture a secret, partly to advance their political objectives, especially destroying America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom. Second, homosexual culture is irrefutably criminal and abusive, especially regarding treatment of young children. Third, virtually all deadly, violent, and sexual criminal activity in America is perpetrated by homosexuals. And it's not a small group of homosexuals perpetrating the crimes over and over again; it's at least a large majority of the entire homosexual population. Fourth, homosexual sexual activity is filthy and self-destructive for all who participate in it and, most importantly, is a form of punishment most of the time that it occurs.

Apparently, Kavanaugh did not want to give normal heterosexual culture all of the support that it deserves, which is evidence of collectivism, including activism. More precisely, he withheld support for normal heterosexuals, gave support to illegal homosexual culture, and showed partiality to homosexuals' perverse lifestyles. Why? For

some of the same reasons that heterosexuals voted for the Respect For Marriage Act of 2022. For example, envy and jealousy of those more influential than himself, retaliation for not succeeding at establishing his family and tribal practices, and a desire to please homosexuals he still interacts or shares important information with, including homosexual family members or relatives. Furthermore, heterosexual public officials who favor homosexuals often do so because they want to please other people who share their tribal and family practices by not being too conservative or too supportive of *normal* heterosexuals who *don't* share those practices, which is evidence of collectivism. Stated differently, the heterosexual public officials favor homosexuals to try to get something going on with, frustrate, obstruct, or antagonize normal heterosexuals more powerful and influential than themselves, partly to please like-minded collectivist heterosexuals. Lastly, some public officials showed partiality to homosexuals in public policy because they believed or anticipated that America was moving in a pro-homosexual or tolerance of homosexuality direction and wanted homosexuals to believe they were also moving in that direction. Thankfully, the opposite is true primarily because homosexuals can't reverse their declining numbers and have not moved the public sentiment for the homosexual lifestyle in their direction in non-institutional private society, the dominant realm.

26. Ironically, homosexuals don't genuinely or fully support the Respect For Marriage Act or the *Bostock* decision partly because the public policies required heterosexual support. This means that homosexuals must inevitably give heterosexuals credit for the laws. Furthermore, the public policy is an example of heterosexual defiance, retaliation, and collectivism, not something the heterosexuals genuinely believe is lawful, truthful, or good-intentioned. Therefore, for homosexuals to avail themselves of the policy is to participate in heterosexuals' proud house or fallen state of the heterosexuals' collectivism.

In addition, most homosexuals want nothing to do with same sex homosexuals who marry each other, and ridicule and exclude same sex married homosexuals. The primary reason is that same sex married homosexuals are going too far into the relationship and family realm, which is not what mainstream homosexual culture is supposed to be about. That is, the same sex married couples are going too far in attempting to antagonize conservatives, make their relationships appear genuine, and in forcing others to approve their relationships *without changing public sentiment in their favor*. Accordingly, same sex married homosexuals are considered too self-serving by other homosexuals, including by originating unconstitutional, untruthful, and unscientific public policy that causes more criticism, trouble, and attention for other homosexuals. Specifically, at least most if not all homosexuals, including those who don't privately support same sex marriage, don't want the amount of negativity and attention they receive in contemporary America for their un-American, illegal, and perverse cultures. And, not surprisingly, illegal, pro-homosexual public policy that they don't *genuinely*

support substantially contributes to the amount of negativity and unwanted attention they receive.

27. Heterosexual Democrat public officials, all homosexual public officials, and heterosexual fake conservative public officials favor undeserving people and ideas in the public policy they adopt. Then they watch to see what it does to *deserving* people and ideas. Also, their choices of who or what to favor are anti-science or not truthful or rational in other ways. In particular, their choices are typically based upon what normal heterosexuals are against and favor people who normal heterosexuals have rightfully defeated or destroyed or who have suffered for good reason at the hands of normal heterosexuals. Furthermore, their choices of who and what to elevate are based in part upon the victories and increasing power of normal heterosexuals in non-institutional private society. Thus, collectivist public officials wrongfully and illegally pit patriotic Americans and other people against each other via public policy.

28. Collectivist heterosexual public officials are probably more aggressive than ever before because they're criticized, and losing influence and power in non-institutional private society, like never before, which explains their support for homosexual rights. Also, they didn't fool or convince anyone into thinking that even though they're not addressing the causes of problems that they can still legitimately move public policy in a direction that ignores the causes of problems, as if their official duties and the causes of problems are in two separate worlds, or as if their job doesn't require them to do otherwise.

29. Recession is a state of the economy. Technically speaking, and depending upon who you talk to, the Great Recession ended way back in 2009, or shortly after the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act was passed, or when the coronavirus took hold, or somewhere in between. However, the technical explanation doesn't tell the whole story. Realistically speaking, the Great Recession is the Mortgage Crisis, *plus* the government inaction and bad public policy that worsened the Mortgage Crisis, *plus* the ensuing recession, which is the worst recession since the Great Depression, *plus* all of the problems that the recession, the bad public policy, and the government inaction caused in peoples' lives. With that in mind, it's not wrong to say that the Great Recession is still going on. For tens of millions of American adults haven't fully rebounded from its negative effects.

30. There are a few important observations that must be remembered about the 2020 federal and state elections, 2021 U.S. Senate run-off elections, and 2022 federal and state elections. First, collectivists turned out to vote in huge numbers. Homosexuals, in particular, almost achieved their maximum voting capability. Second, collectivists were greatly disappointed with the results of the elections. The reason is that they fell far short

of what they thought they were capable of achieving, which reveals a big crack in collectivists' massive systemic fraud against conservatives. In fact, collectivists cannot realistically continue to attempt to appear stronger than they truly are. And they don't have long to go before their national population is underwater, below the level that is necessary to win the presidency and to control either house of Congress. Third, without the continuous, substantial drop in the homosexual population that began in 2009, collectivists would have achieved their goal of winning substantially more political power in the elections. Not surprisingly, the continuous drop in the population was the result of obeying America's tradition of real freedom. In particular, we strongly punished homosexuals for their illegal, fraudulent, unpatriotic, rebellious, and treasonous behaviors, including the economic policy that they adopted. Furthermore, nothing less than strong punishment would have succeeded in significantly reducing the collectivist population year after year, including institutional policy, lesser competing cultural practices in non-institutional private society, or any combination thereof.

31. There is widespread disappointment among Democratic Party voters, especially among homosexuals, over the results of the 2020 federal and state elections, the 2021 U.S. Senate runoff elections, and the 2022 federal and state elections. Undoubtedly, Democrats thought or hoped they would do much better or win more easily in all of the elections. But they underestimated the substantial yearly decline in the homosexual population, which began in 2009. Thankfully, Democrats didn't get within a hundred miles of what they hoped to achieve or thought they could achieve in the elections.

32. Collectivists in modern America should have heeded Jesus' warnings to "judge not lest ye be judged" and "...with the measure you use, it will be measured back to you". For collectivists fraudulently criticized and judged conservatives and believed (or hoped) that conservatives wouldn't understand what they were doing. In particular, homosexuals criticized conservatives for conservatives' lack of support of homosexuality and homosexual rights, even though homosexuals were hiding the evils of homosexual culture, including child sex slavery. Even worse, collectivists hypocritically projected their problems and failings onto conservatives. For example, by labeling conservatives as bigoted, un-American, and racist, even though collectivists knew the accusations were false. The result is that collectivists were judged and are now condemned

33. Collectivists are antichrists because they reject individuals or groups who they believe have too much influence, even though the individuals or groups are not in conflict with America's tradition of real freedom. Furthermore, collectivists don't want any individual or group to be too influential, regardless of whether the individual or group has all of the science and other evidence on its side. Also, collectivist public officials often elevate or strengthen other nations because they don't want America to be too influential.

One reason is that if collectivists at home and abroad think America is too influential the collectivist public officials will be criticized even more by, and receive less acceptance from, collectivists at home and abroad. The result is that it will be much more difficult if not impossible for the collectivist public officials to achieve at least some of their family and tribal objectives. Another reason collectivists don't want America to be too influential is to punish conservatives by keeping America from being the best it can be.

34. Collectivists are antichrists because they don't want individuals or groups to have it all or to be too influential, even if those individuals or groups are not in conflict with America's tradition of real freedom. In the *New Testament*, the antichrists are those who do not accept a God in the flesh, that Jesus is God in the flesh, and that Jesus is both God and man. Additionally, collectivists are the anti-sciences because their policy decisions on many issues conflict with science. For example, homosexual rights, child welfare, abortion, gun control, and global warming.

35. From one perspective, people vote Democrat because they failed to establish their family and tribal cultures in non-institutional private society. Consequently, they are antichrists because they don't want other people to be too powerful, influential, or successful, including with the aid of conservative public policy. Biblically speaking, voting Democrat is the result of having an evil spirit.

36. Even collectivist *heterosexual* public officials are part of a collectivist rebellion that is worthy of the death penalty. In particular, they are activists, which means they don't like any conservative individual or group that is too influential, including individuals or groups in non-institutional private society that won't give the public officials' tribalism and familism the dignity and respect or influence the public officials desire. And once they locate a conservative person or group that they believe is too influential, they pit public policy against that person or group, at least on one issue. Obviously, that is not "all men are created equal"; it's rebellion worthy of the death penalty. The reason is that it forcefully defies on a grand scale America's tradition of real freedom, including the *Constitution*, and has or attempts to have a substantial negative effect on the tradition and on America in general. And in some issues, including illegal immigration, pitting public policy against a person or group is also treason.

37. Collectivist heterosexuals move to the far left when things aren't going their way. For example, they begin showing partiality to people that normal heterosexuals don't want them to be partial to and rightfully don't believe should be given dignity and respect or influence. From another perspective, they begin favoring, including with legal rights, undeserving people and ideas or the opposite of what has been established in non-institutional private society, which makes their behaviors treason or rebellion, depending

on the issue. (See the Respect For Marriage Act of 2022 and the 2020 U.S. Supreme Court Case *Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia*, for examples of rebellion.) Realistically, when public officials promote public policy that they know is not true or rational, they are automatically perpetrating rebellion and in some cases treason. For the policy violates America's charter of freedom and the Purpose Clause of the *Constitution*.

38. Homosexuals want dignity and respect or influence in both private society and the law for their incest, child sex trafficking, child pornography, abortions, and other severe child abuse, for their familism and tribalism in general, and for their proud houses and other personal failings. Why? Because the filthy and evil behaviors and circumstances are what they have in their lives and they don't think it's fair for other people to hold the behaviors and circumstances against them or require them to stop participating in or reliving the behaviors and circumstances. And this is true even if participating in or reliving the behaviors and circumstances constitutes treason, rebellion, and other crimes, and discards America's tradition of real freedom in its entirety. In addition, collectivist heterosexuals want dignity and respect or influence in the public and private realms for their bad tribal and family practices for the same reasons. Even worse, if patriotic Americans don't give dignity and respect or influence to collectivists in private society who have the same or similar tribal and family practices as certain collectivist public officials, then the collectivist public officials will illegally exercise or pit government power against patriotic Americans and consequently against America's tradition of real freedom. Therefore, the corrupt public officials are not practicing "all men are created equal" or fulfilling the Purpose Clause of the *Constitution*. Furthermore, corrupt public officials who exercise government power in this manner are the dominant reason why our ancestors left Europe for the New World and fought the Revolutionary War and the Civil War.

39. Collectivists want what normal heterosexuals have and can't get it; that is, they want to control the public sentiment in non-institutional private society, which is the crown jewel of influence and greater than any amount of institutional or non-institutional power. Also, wrongfully exercising institutional power without public sentiment reinforcing it is self-destructive and unsatisfying, like using a deadly, addictive drug you don't even want. More importantly, public sentiment is not simply support from other people for the exercise of government power; it's support from other people because the people believe the exercise of government power is true, rational, or the genuinely right thing to do *in the context of America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom*. Incredibly, collectivists desire control over other peoples' lives without first securing public sentiment. Even worse, collectivists believe that causing conservatives to submit to such untruthful or irrational control is how collectivists will achieve validity, satisfaction, and escape from all the wrong that they've perpetrated along the way, and will justify their

bad-intentioned family and tribal practices, proud houses, and other personal failings. Nonetheless, even if collectivists don't secure such control with the illegal exercise of government power they'll at least make life in America much worse for conservatives for ruining collectivists' selfish, perverse political objectives.

40. After participating in homosexuality and then exiting homosexuality, many heterosexuals chose to vote Democrat and continue to vote Democrat because voting Republican is too difficult. In particular, voting Republican brings too much criticism from homosexuals, some of whom the heterosexuals were in sexual relationships with. Consequently, truthful, rational public policy is the heterosexuals' sacrifice.

Why don't these heterosexuals give a stronger effort and not allow homosexuals' criticism to substantially influence their lives?

According to the heterosexuals, that's not the kind of people they are or the kind of people they want to be. More specifically, they're trying to fit within a particular tribal and family culture and don't want to be too powerful because it will separate them from the tribe and family. As a result, they weaken their behaviors in certain areas of their life to fit within the tribe and family that they want to belong to. For example, by voting Democrat and giving homosexuals dignity and respect or influence in other ways, especially in non-institutional private society. Also, these heterosexuals have a proud house caused by their participation in homosexuality and despise conservatism because it doesn't give their proud house dignity and respect or influence like the Democratic Party and collectivism in general give. In addition, many of these collectivist heterosexual Democrats induce their children into voting Democrat primarily because of the influence of their past homosexuality.

Finally, some heterosexuals had a short stay in homosexuality when they were pre-pubescent, pubescent, or older teenagers. Nonetheless, they remain Democrats for decades or for life as a result.

41. The major groups that constitute the Democratic Party don't like each other. For example, collectivist heterosexuals do not teach their children that homosexuality, including incest, is good, natural, or acceptable. Also, if you replace the incest homosexual public officials with non-incest homosexuals, the Democratic Party won't be as liberal. Furthermore, if you replace the non-incest homosexual public officials with collectivist heterosexuals, the Democratic Party will be even less liberal. However, these different groups stick together and unlawfully use the Democratic Party as a vehicle and weapon to change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking, including giving conservatives a proud house, or to punish conservatives in other ways. Therefore, it's wrong to believe that homosexual Democratic Party public officials are not discriminating against heterosexuals simply because collectivist heterosexuals vote Democrat. In fact, in both the public and private realms homosexuals and collectivist

heterosexuals are discriminating against each other. But both groups tolerate, ignore, hide, and downplay the discrimination as part of a strategy to defeat conservatives inside and outside the institutions. Lastly, collectivist heterosexuals who vote Democrat do not agree with homosexual Democratic Party public officials on many important issues but vote for them anyways to punish conservatives, including by allowing homosexuals to abuse government power and consequently make life in America far less enjoyable for conservatives.

42. The purpose of the Democratic Party is not to promote what the various groups within the party genuinely believe is truthful, rational, and logical. The purpose is to give conservatives a proud house and to change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways with the abusive, unconstitutional exercise of government power. Stated differently, the Democratic Party's purpose is to stop conservatives from being normal because normal heterosexual culture aggressively furthers the preeminence of truth and reason in both the public and private realms. And one of the Democratic Party's favorite strategies to achieve its purpose is to make America far less enjoyable for conservatives or to give conservatives much less or nothing to believe in.

43. Collectivists don't truly want the Democratic Party platform. And they don't believe the platform is genuine or will accomplish what the Democratic Party public officials say it will accomplish. In truth, the Democratic Party has been worse for collectivists, especially homosexuals, than it has for conservatives. However, the Democratic Party is the vehicle and weapon by which collectivists are attempting to give conservatives a proud house or change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways. Sadly, collectivists place achieving their selfish political goals above more important and beneficial goals, including an excellent economy, wealth creation on a grand scale, ease of upward socioeconomic mobility, secure borders, no mass murder, no non-medically necessary abortions, no severe child abuse, and virtually no murder.

44. Collectivists shouldn't hope for monetary hardship in America or celebrate over the current or past monetary hardship of conservatives for two primary reasons. First, America's tradition of real freedom grew by leaps and bounds during periods of severe monetary hardship, including the Great Recession and its aftermath. Second, many *collectivists* who've been monetarily devastated won't give dignity and respect or influence to collectivists who hope for or who celebrate over a poor economy or who are insensitive in other ways towards the monetary devastation experienced by other collectivists, at least not in private or away from the presence of conservatives. For many collectivists want to return to substantial monetary prosperity because that's what they do, what they like to do, or both. However, according to collectivist culture they must give primary importance to giving conservatives a proud house, breaking the backs of

conservatives, or punishing conservatives in other ways. As a result, they vote Democrat. Nonetheless, they didn't count on conservatives being victorious or for their efforts to destroy conservatism to go on for such a long time. And they don't want to continue to suffer so much monetarily, either. On the contrary, they want to prosper monetarily, but without normal heterosexual culture interfering with them.

45. The reason why many wealthy Americans vote Democrat is because they can't establish their family and tribal practices. Consequently they strive to keep conservatives from being too powerful, influential, or successful and make America far less enjoyable to conservatives. Sadly, these wealthy collectivists support and endure illegally high taxation and illegal government interference to achieve their goals.

46. A major purpose of illegal tax-and-spend government programs is to punish conservatives by favoring undeserving people and ideas. For example, illegal immigrants, slavery reparations, global warming, "human infrastructure", tolerance, and "equity". Specifically, many programs are designed to punish conservatives for passing tax cuts and other pro-business, pro-growth policy. The more successful the conservatives are with their policy, the more that collectivists aim to punish conservatives with illegal collectivist policy.

47. Collectivists' worsening condition will not cause the Democratic Party to be substantially more conservative. In particular, more conservative with taxation, regulatory policy, and all other monetary matters. Also, because pro-growth economic policy is so important to conservatives, it's improbable that the Democratic Party will support determinatively pro-growth policies. For doing so gives too much dignity and respect or influence to conservatives, even though collectivists would benefit tremendously from the policies.

48. Both heterosexual and homosexual Democrats do not enjoy the monetary and other suffering they experience, which is caused in large part by the Democratic Party platform. Nonetheless, they support the platform and endure the suffering because they hope to give conservatives a proud house and determinatively change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways with the abusive, unconstitutional exercise of government power. Thankfully, collectivists will never realize their hope. But they'll continue to build proud houses for themselves along their irreversible path to ultimate extinction.

49. It is in homosexuals' and collectivist heterosexuals' best interests to keep the economy going strong. But collectivists know that an excellent economy is very important to conservatives. In fact, money, money, money is a substantial component of original

intent and of America's tradition of real freedom in general. Accordingly, collectivists won't give anywhere near their best effort towards keeping, improving upon, or building an excellent economy because that constitutes giving in to what conservatives want or to what is important to conservatives. From another perspective, collectivists know that an excellent economy ruins collectivists' ability to thoroughly control conservatives and keeps collectivists from escaping collectivism on their own terms.

50. Partly because of the public policy furthered by the Obama Administration, other homosexual Democrats, and homosexual "Republicans", homosexuality was put on the irreversible path to ultimate extinction, millions of homosexuals were forced into full- or part-time prostitution, and the political parties were reoriented in favor of the Republican Party. Nonetheless, tens of millions of adults continue to vote Democrat. And the primary reason is that they're united in their attempt to give conservatives a proud house and change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways with the abusive, irrational, and unconstitutional exercise of government power.

51. The Democratic Party's policies hurt Democrats more than the policies hurt Republicans. And at least a large majority of Democrats hope the party changes its policies. But they won't force party leaders to do that because they're supposed to allow them to enact different policies to determine which policies break conservatives' backs, give conservatives a proud house, make America far less enjoyable for conservatives, or punish conservatives in other ways. Stated differently, collectivists vote Democrat to elevate undeserving public officials who will then attempt to get something going on for collectivists by elevating undeserving collectivist ideas in public policy. For example, abortion, same-sex marriage, other homosexual rights, global warming, illegal immigration, slavery reparations, and illegal taxation and wasteful spending, including the "American Rescue Plan", which caused "Biden-flation", the worst inflation in at least several decades, and the "Inflation Reduction Act of 2022". Thankfully, collectivists have failed to achieve their selfish political objectives. And they're suffering far more than they ever thought they would have to suffer.

52. Homosexual and heterosexual Democrats aren't supposed to stop Democratic Party public officials from adopting bad public policy that makes life worse for all collectivists, including anti-capitalist, anti-growth, anti-business policies. The reason is that the public policy is the public officials' contribution to collectivists' rebellion and treason against America's tradition of real freedom, which is designed to give conservatives a proud house, punish conservatives in other ways, and destroy the tradition. And even though collectivists are suffering tremendously from collectivist public policy, they've placed beating conservatives in the public realm above their own well-being.

53. Typically, collectivist heterosexuals don't openly and strongly criticize homosexual Democratic Party public officials because they're not supposed to criticize them in that way. On the contrary, they're supposed to avoid criticizing the public officials in the presence of conservatives and allow the public officials to attempt to give conservatives a proud house, to make life in America far less enjoyable to conservatives, or to punish conservatives in other ways with the irrational, unconstitutional, and perverse exercise of government power. And if the public officials cause more harm to collectivists, which is typically what happens, collectivist heterosexuals are still not supposed to openly criticize them for it. In fact, they're not supposed to make the homosexual public officials look bad in any way for the harm the public officials caused them.

54. The "Inflation Reduction Act of 2022", including substantial tax increases, was passed when tens of millions of patriotic American adults understood the illegal, immoral personal motivations of the policy and the policy's historical connections spanning many centuries. Therefore, the Inflation Reduction Act was the first of its kind tax increase. In addition, public sentiment was strongly against the policy. And the reason for this lack of public sentiment is that most people know that the policy won't accomplish what the Democrats say it will accomplish. Furthermore, most people know that the illegal purposes of the policy include punishing conservatives for their pro-growth policy and lack of support of homosexual, and other collectivist, culture. Also, collectivists in private society are beyond twilight. However, collectivist public officials are still flailing away with their untruthful, irrational policy that is contributing to destroying all collectivists much more quickly than collectivists would otherwise be destroyed.

55. The illegal, wasteful, and unnecessary spending and taxation that constitute the American Rescue Plan of 2021 and Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 were passed in unique circumstances. Specifically, most people understood the illegal personal motivations for the policies. Nonetheless, what the circumstances have in common with the 1760s -1770s and the 1850s – 1860s is that many people in past centuries knew that the collectivist economic policy that was illegally forced upon them was untruthful, irrational, and incompatible with America's tradition of real freedom as it then existed.

56. There is something new under the sun in America. For collectivist public officials decided to "pull the roof down" over collectivists' heads by moving forward with illegal tax increases and other illegal, unnecessary, anti-growth, anti-business, and anti-capitalist public policy. Consequently, collectivists have never been in circumstances like they're in now, in which their public policy choices are so eschatological. Never before

have collectivist public officials passed such policy when the majority of the public knew that the policy was fraudulent, knew the illegal personal and behavioral motivations of the policy, and knew that the policy was so destructive to its supporters.

57. Collectivists practice institutional preeminence by trying to achieve too much with the created (the institutions) instead of with the creator (America's normal heterosexual tradition of real freedom).

58. You can identify collectivists by the depraved behaviors they're willing to tolerate to achieve their major political objectives, especially punishing conservatives for dominating in private society. For example, collectivist heterosexuals and homosexuals tolerated the enslavement of blacks to destroy normal heterosexuals' objective to live life fully and have all the Creator wants them to have. Similarly, collectivist heterosexuals and homosexuals in contemporary America tolerate child sex slavery, which is at least many times worse than the enslavement of blacks in previous centuries, to destroy normal heterosexuals' objective to live life fully and have all the Creator wants them to have.

59. Collectivist heterosexuals that supported the enslavement of blacks in previous centuries have better reputations than heterosexual Democrats, homosexual "Republicans", and heterosexual fake conservatives in contemporary America who support incest homosexual rights, including same-sex marriage. For the three groups in contemporary America know about the child sex slavery and other severe forms of child abuse perpetrated by incest homosexuals, which collectivist heterosexuals in previous centuries didn't know about. Sadly, child sex slavery is something that homosexuals and collectivist heterosexuals in contemporary America tolerate in order to achieve their goals of destroying America's tradition of real freedom and punishing conservatives in other ways.

60. Patriotic Americans should not sympathize with Democrats who suffer monetary hardship or are victims of criminal activity. The reason is that by voting Democrat they knew that they were making it much more likely that they would experience monetary hardship or be crime victims. Interestingly, the perpetrators of the crimes are always or almost always homosexuals who, if they vote, vote for the Democratic Party or for another collectivist party.

VI. HOMOSEXUAL CULTURE

1. Only a tiny percentage of homosexuals were openly homosexual prior to 2009. In addition, one of the political components to their fraud, rebellion, and treason, which

were designed in part to undermine America's normal heterosexual *Constitution*, is that they pretended to be heterosexuals while promoting pro-homosexual public policy.

2. Incest homosexual public officials say the overturning of *Roe v. Wade* is inhumane. This is obviously hypocritical because incest homosexuals' treatment of their own pre-pubescent, pubescent, and older teenage children regarding abortion is inhumane and much worse than a state with a near total ban on abortion. Specifically, incest homosexuals punish the child for not having an abortion. But after the child has an abortion, they use the abortion against the child. In addition, at least a majority of the homosexual public officials who support inhumane pro-abortion policy had abortions. Even worse, by supporting inhumane abortion policy homosexual public officials are standing up for their family and tribal practices that forced them into abortion, which enables them to stay on good enough terms with their family and tribal members who severely abused them and other incest homosexuals who practice the same or substantially similar culture. Finally, saying that the overturning of *Roe v. Wade* is inhumane is also hypocritical because abortion breeds an illegal, irrational, untruthful, unscientific, unpatriotic, subversive political culture and unjust discrimination against heterosexuals who do not support abortion.

3. Public policy originating in incest homosexual public officials is at least almost always the product of people who were abused sexually and in other ways as young children and still haven't appropriately handled the abuse. Sadly, these public officials continue to support and promote policies that protect their abusers, especially their own family members, and allow them to stay on the best terms possible with their abusers and other incest homosexuals with the same or substantially similar culture. The primary reason is that the incest homosexual public officials have also severely abused young children, including by supporting pro-abortion and other pro-homosexual public policy.

4. The American Families Plan, Build Back Better, the Inflation Reduction Act, and other public policy that originates in incest homosexual public officials won't make up for the damage the Democratic Party is doing and attempting to do to young children. For the policy is only a fraudulent exterior or a disguise that is designed to make the proponents of the policies appear humane. In reality, however, by illegally redistributing wealth into the hands of homosexuals they are tolerating, destigmatizing, and making it easier to perpetrate severe child abuse. Sadly, helping with the abused children's material needs is the best they can do for the young children, while the abuse the children endure rages on. In fact, if the child abusers accept the monetary and other benefits from the "pro-family" public policy, then they must abuse their children even more, in order to maintain the appropriate balance within the family of caring for their children and abusing their children.

5. Homosexuals hate their lives so much that they can't control themselves enough to wait until they have the necessary support for a constitutional amendment before they force their family and tribal practices onto conservatives. Interestingly, until the 1950s or 1960s there was a consensus that enabled the passage of constitutional amendments. However, when the consensus was lost, and neither conservatives nor collectivists could achieve enough support for a constitutional amendment, the collectivists lost control of themselves and instituted fundamental changes on levels lower than a constitutional amendment, which is obviously illegal since the changes are not truthful or rational, including being pro-science.

6. When incest homosexual Democrat public officials use the word "democracy", only *some* of the time they mean the will of more than fifty percent of either all voters or of the entire collectivist community. *Most* of the time they mean the will of the homosexual population or of incest homosexuals in particular.

7. Homosexual Democrats and other homosexual public officials practice a variant of "taxation without representation" that is best described as taxation with *some* representation or taxation *without enough* representation. Specifically, these public officials are not incorporating into public policy what conservatives, especially those in non-institutional private society, have established as necessary to move America's tradition of real freedom forward as intended. Instead, they're illegally raising taxes and supporting illegal tax rates and other untruthful, irrational, anti-growth economic policy. In essence, homosexual public officials represent only undeserving ideas and undeserving people like themselves.

8. In the 1760s and 1770s, "no taxation without representation" meant that if the colonists are not represented in Parliament, then they shouldn't be taxed, and that doing otherwise is illegal. In the 21st Century, taxation without representation means collectivist homosexual public officials are illegally taxing us and spending our money on public policies that defy the truth that we've established or that was already known.

9. Like the appointees and nominees of other homosexual Democratic Party public officials, at least many of President Biden's nominees and appointees are people who suffered substantially, or substantially more than average, from what conservatives rightfully said or did about them or to them. Thus, homosexual Democratic Party public officials are not concerned primarily with choosing the most talented or qualified people. They're concerned primarily with vengefully resurrecting a person who still has a deservedly bad reputation, which they hope will give conservatives a proud house and undeservedly punish conservatives in other ways.

10. Like all collectivist public officials, homosexual public officials don't actually believe their policies will do what they say the policies will do. But they support the policies anyway because the policies are the opposite of what conservatives want and because they were criticized in the past for supporting or furthering the same or similar policies and resent the way they were treated. In other words, the policies are often collectivist public officials' proud houses. Therefore, it's no surprise the policies are so injurious to America. Additionally, the policies are not good-intentioned, truthful, or rational, which means the policies constitute rebellion, treason, and other crimes.

11. The fact that homosexuality was placed on the irreversible path to ultimate extinction early in the 21st Century coincides with homosexuals' quest for legal rights. For at no time in history has it been as bad for homosexuals as it is now. But at no time in history did homosexuals push and vote for legal rights as they have in recent decades.

12. The homosexual community, including public officials, is attempting to promote a super race by making homosexuals look far better than they truly are. And even though most homosexuals in America aren't as extreme as the Nazis, their strategy is the same. More precisely, they promote a fraudulent exterior that they know is far from the underlying truth, including science. Furthermore, their purpose is to defy, defraud, and destroy those who threaten their homosexual culture while they attempt to escape their hell on earth on their own terms.

13. Incest homosexuals are acting like Nazis. In particular, they're promoting a fraudulent exterior that they know is untruthful, including anti-science. Furthermore, they're attempting to make homosexuals appear as a group with much to offer and on the rise, but in reality are as bad as humans can be and on the decline. Sadly, they're supported and tolerated by non-incest homosexuals and collectivist heterosexuals.

14. Homosexual public officials try to make their gun control efforts look like genuine attempts to solve problems and protect Americans. Realistically speaking, however, homosexual public officials are practicing tolerance because their efforts won't do anything to stop or substantially reduce the suffering, death, and violence. Furthermore, they practice tolerance because they have too much in common with the people perpetrating major crimes involving firearms and will not implement a solution that makes their own kind look bad. Simply stated, their fraudulent exterior is action, but at their core they practice inaction. Also, they're selfishly, punitively doing what conservatives don't want them to do, including ignoring the constitutional right to keep and bear arms.

15. Gun control is actually tolerance of gun-related crime, not a genuine attempt to stop or substantially reduce it. The reason is that the limiting of gun ownership is primarily meant to punish conservatives, including by disrespecting the normal heterosexual *Constitution*, and to make it appear as if serious action is being taken to prevent horrible crimes. Furthermore, incest homosexuals know that gun control doesn't stop their fellow incest homosexuals from perpetrating horrible crimes in which a firearm is the weapon of death or substantially reduce the number of those crimes.

16. Incest homosexual public officials, including President Biden, continue to fraudulently promote gun control as a substantial component of the solution to murder and mass murder in America. For example, on Thanksgiving Day in 2022, President Biden repeated his support for new, unconstitutional gun control and stated the following:

"The idea we still allow semi-automatic weapons to be purchased is sick. Just sick. It has no socially redeeming value. Zero. None. Not a single solitary rationale for it except profit for the gun manufacturers."

In reality, what's sick is an incest homosexual president who favors public policy and private behaviors that support, further, and encourage severe child abuse, which creates murderers and mass murderers out of the victims of the abuse. Then, the incest homosexual president blames firearms for the crimes perpetrated by the victims of severe child abuse because he wants to defy normal heterosexuals, avoid offending his fellow child-abusing incest homosexuals, and make it appear as if he's taking serious action to prevent horrible crimes. *That* is truly sick. And anyone who believes in a right to exist for people whose culture includes support or tolerance of severe child abuse needs to be destroyed, not the constitutional right to keep and bear arms.

17. Homosexual public officials follow the "Stephen Paddock method", which means they bring negative attention to, or attempt to vilify, everything except the real cause of the problem. And their purpose is to make what they bring attention to look like the cause of the problem they are confronting and apparently attempting to solve. Interestingly, Paddock brought negative attention directly to fully automatic firearms, bump stocks, computers, child pornography, and Las Vegas revelry. However, he probably never intended to bring negative attention to the incest homosexual culture which was the cause of the mass murder he perpetrated. Neither did President Biden intend to bring negative attention to incest homosexual culture when, on March 28, 2023, in responding to a fatal school shooting in Nashville, Tennessee the day before, he stated:

"They should be with us ... as a nation, we owe these families more than our prayers. We owe them action. You know, we have to do more to stop this gun violence that is ripping communities apart, ripping apart the soul of this nation. Protect our children so they learn how to read and write instead of duck and covering in a classroom. This is hard to believe. I never thought when I started my public life that guns would be the No. 1 killer of children in America. Guns. No. 1. It's sick and overwhelming; a majority of gun owners agree we have to do something."

Not surprisingly, President Biden unconstitutionally, deceitfully, unpatriotically, and selfishly blamed guns for being the number one killer of children in America, instead of blaming incest homosexuality, the *real* killer. Why? Because incest homosexuals like President Biden know that blaming incest homosexuality will more quickly destroy their objective to escape homosexuality on their own terms and confirm what normal heterosexuals already established years ago. Sadly, incest homosexuals alternatively promote gun control policy that supports, encourages, and prolongs the murder and mass murder of young children.

18. Homosexual Democratic Party public officials resorted to anti-growth, anti-business policies that substantially worsened the great economy the Republican Party created with no help from Democrats. As a result, tens of millions of patriotic American adults are experiencing substantial monetary and other hardship. To be fair, these Democrats behaved just as badly if not worse than the homosexual Democratic Party public officials who contributed to the Mortgage Crisis. For the Mortgage Crisis was caused primarily by private actors and was followed by bad intentioned, non-responsive homosexual Democratic Party public officials who caused the Great Recession. But homosexual Democratic Party public officials are entirely responsible for substantially worsening the great economy created by the Republican Party, with a combination of taxation, spending, and inflation deserving of mass executions for large-scale rebellion.

19. Homosexual Democratic Party public officials adopted economic policy that substantially worsened the great economy the Republican Party created with no help from Democrats. In addition, these typical homosexual public officials are just as bad if not worse than the homosexual Democratic Party public officials and other homosexual public officials who illegally and improperly responded to the Mortgage Crisis that preceded the Great Recession.

20. For homosexual Democrats, elevating women or minorities is important. In fact, it is the homosexual population that can benefit from elevating women or minorities because homosexuals are the perpetrators of all or almost all discrimination against

women and minorities. But homosexuals don't discuss the cause of the discrimination, which is homosexuality, especially *incest* homosexuality. Instead, they follow the "Stephen Paddock method". Plus, they make the discrimination appear as if it's a problem that both homosexual and heterosexual Americans are guilty of. The reason is that they're not allowed to say anything that makes their fellow homosexuals look worse than heterosexuals. Sadly, homosexuals elevate homosexuals who are victims of discrimination by other homosexuals, but don't say things about homosexual culture that offend the discriminating homosexuals. Even worse, the homosexuals they elevate are discriminating against conservatives and attempting to illegally destroy America's tradition of real freedom.

21. Incest homosexuals, including public officials, try to punish heterosexuals who cause them much trouble by mimicking what the heterosexuals are about. For example, religiosity, social justice, and pro-family lifestyles. Specifically, the mimicry is designed to vengefully punish heterosexuals for the harm caused by the behavior they're mimicking and to elevate homosexuals. President Obama and President Biden attempted to mimic social justice and religion practiced by conservatives in non-institutional private society, but failed. Not surprisingly, their policies made life much worse for the homosexuals they were attempting to help. Additionally, Democrats' accusations of insurrection and rebellion against conservatives shows that Democrats are mimicking what conservatives have been correctly saying about Democrats, and homosexuals in particular, for a long time, even when conservatives didn't know most of the people the conservatives were talking about were homosexuals. In truth, homosexuals are irreversibly losing political power and their lives are worsening. Therefore, they desperately mimic conservatives and project their problems, wrongdoings, criminal behaviors, and failings onto conservatives.

22. Because of what we know about collectivists, we must never give them dignity and respect or influence in America. That is, we must never go along with what they're doing in America, personally or politically. For almost all voting collectivists vote for the Democratic Party as a vehicle and weapon to illegally destroy America's tradition of real freedom, to make life in America far less enjoyable to conservatives, to give conservatives a proud house, and to change conservatives' behaviors to collectivists' liking in other ways. And the result is that homosexual public officials forced millions of homosexuals into full- or part-time prostitution by destroying their jobs, their employability, and their businesses while attempting to give them dignity and respect or influence. Furthermore, homosexuals in private society contributed to their own self-destruction, especially while interacting with conservatives in the business and employment realms. Specifically, many homosexuals learned that in the professional realm and elsewhere, a person's condition substantially worsens once he or she stops giving other people dignity and

respect or influence simply because the other people don't submit to his or her tribalism, familism, proud house, or other personal failing.

23. Homosexual public officials destroy homosexuals with homosexual rights and with anti-growth, anti-business public policy, including illegally high taxation and illegal regulations. And when conservatives point that out to them, even to the homosexuals that fare much worse than other homosexuals, the homosexuals say "but that's not what this is about" and "that's not what we're doing". Interestingly, this illustrates homosexuals' willingness to destroy themselves in their effort to give conservatives a proud house, to control conservatives, to make America far less enjoyable for conservatives, and to punish conservatives in other ways.

24. Severe child abuse results in moderate and extreme forms of unscientific or anti-scientific collectivism and pseudo-science, which was prevalent in the 20th Century with the Nazis, communists, socialists, and various dictatorships. Sadly, these results are prevalent in contemporary America thanks to Democratic Party public officials and homosexual public officials in other parties. Consequently, victims of severe child abuse should stay away from the public sector unless they've overcome the negative effects of the abuse they've suffered.

25. Homosexual public officials already substantially contributed to putting homosexuality on the irreversible path to ultimate extinction, reorienting the political parties in favor of the Republican Party, and forcing millions of their fellow homosexuals into full- or part-time prostitution. Furthermore, many more homosexuals will be prostitutes if homosexual public officials continue to resort to illegal and unnecessarily high taxation, or to other anti-capitalist, anti-growth economic policies. In addition, homosexuals tolerate this self-destruction because they believe they're causing substantial hardship to conservatives, including by making life in America far less enjoyable for conservatives. Incredibly, some homosexuals tolerate this self-destruction because they're still hoping they can give conservatives a proud house and change conservatives' behaviors in other ways to homosexuals' liking. Interestingly, homosexuals are disproportionately the victims of murder, mass murder, sexual offenses, and violence. But that hasn't made homosexual public officials support stronger anti-crime legislation. And the dominant reason why is that homosexuals don't care about each other that much. What they do care about, however, is escaping homosexuality on their own terms, changing conservatives' behaviors to homosexuals' liking, and destroying America's tradition of real freedom.

26. At least almost all public officials who nominated a transcestite for a government position were transcestites earlier in life or are still transcestites some of the time. In other

words, the nominations are at least predominantly the result of parents and other family members sexually abusing and abusing in other ways the young children in their family.

Additionally, living as an openly transcestite public official is one way for that official to belittle himself or herself in the eyes of the people he or she wronged, other people who were wronged similarly, and incest homosexuals in general, which the transcestite public official hopes will result in far less criticism from those people. And in some cases the transcestite could be using himself or herself as a test case to stir up controversy and anger or antagonize more conservative-minded Americans. But in at least most cases the transcestite attire is necessary because of the transcestite's desire to remain on good terms with his or her incest homosexual family members in light of the importance of the position. Stated differently, the transcestite's primary motivation for living as a transcestite in the spotlight is giving his or her family dignity and respect or influence, or staying within his or her family's culture, or not getting too sophisticated compared to other family members, despite what they've done to him or her. Also, living openly transcestite in the spotlight may indicate that, as an adult, he or she hasn't sexually abused young children *directly*, including by participating in the creation or distribution of child porn, child sex trafficking inside or outside the family, and "Auschwitzer" rituals, to name a few. However, living as a transcestite in the spotlight doesn't rule out that the transcestite sexually abused young children when the transcestite was a child or a minor who was significantly older than the victims.

Moreover, appointing a transcestite to a public office is meant to disgust, anger, and frustrate conservatives, which indicates that the transcestite community in America is getting punished to the point where incest homosexual public officials believe that the appointment is one example of reverse punishment or recompense. Therefore, the appointment is indicative of transcestites' worsening condition in private society. Also, transcestites are being nominated and appointed because the public policy realm is getting worse for incest homosexuals in particular; that is, incest homosexual public officials are being punished more severely for their pro-incest homosexual public policy, including with open condemnation from non-institutional private society. Accordingly, they vengefully nominate and appoint transcestites to public office.

Additionally, it's interesting to observe homosexual public officials' reactions to the nomination or appointment of a transcestite. The primary reason is that the large majority of homosexuals don't want transcestites around. In truth, by elevating transcestites in government homosexual public officials are giving transcestites too much authority outside of transcestites' traditional and primary roles, especially presenting oneself in a way that is consistent with one's incest homosexual family's culture and luring heterosexuals into homosexual sex. Not surprisingly, the large majority of homosexuals prefer to not give transcestites more dignity and respect or influence partly because homosexuals know that helping transcestites makes matters worse for all homosexuals. However, if transcestites are being punished for their filthy, unpatriotic

lifestyles, then other incest homosexuals are supposed to help them, including in government. And, once again, the Democratic Party elevates undeserving people and ideas to frustrate conservatives, to give conservatives a proud house, to change conservatives' behaviors in other ways to collectivists' liking, and to make life in America far less enjoyable for conservatives.

27. To support homosexual rights, including non-medically necessary abortion, is to tolerate or to turn your back or a blind eye to child abuse. Sadly, I've heard public officials and other people call anti-abortion laws "inhumane". However, the treatment of pre-pubescent, pubescent, and older teenagers who are forced or harassed into abortions by their parents and other family members is truly inhumane, not the outlawing of abortions. Plus, at least a large percentage of the incest homosexuals who call anti-abortion laws inhumane had an abortion, including public officials. Consequently, public officials who call anti-abortion laws inhumane are supporting incest homosexuals' evil, filthy, subhuman family practices and, with regard to incest homosexual public officials in particular, supporting abusive family members who forced or coerced them into having an abortion to stay on good terms with the abusers.

Interestingly, similar to the effects on the perpetrators of all other forms of severe child abuse, coerced and other non-medically necessary abortions result in severe mental and emotional problems for the women and young girls who have them. Nonetheless, I believe that is one of the Almighty's punishments for preying on or harming the weakest, most innocent member of mankind, the unborn child. Therefore, it's no surprise that the first place "eye for an eye, tooth for a tooth" appears in the *Old Testament* relates to harm perpetrated against an unborn child. *Exodus 21:22-25* in the *English Standard Version* states: "When men strive together and hit a pregnant woman, so that her children come out, but there is no harm, the one who hit her shall surely be fined, as the woman's husband shall impose on him, and he shall pay as the judges determine. But if there is harm, then you shall pay life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot, burn for burn, wound for wound, stripe for stripe." The *New International Version* states: "If people are fighting and hit a pregnant woman and she gives birth prematurely but there is no serious injury, the offender must be fined whatever the woman's husband demands and the court allows. But if there is serious injury, you are to take life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot, burn for burn, wound for wound, bruise for bruise."

28. Probably none of the women and girls who've had non-medically necessary abortions in at least the last 10 years were heterosexuals. The dominant reason is that everybody knows that all non-medically necessary abortion providers are incest homosexuals. But *if* any heterosexual women were getting non-medically necessary abortions in the years or decade immediately prior to learning that all non-medically

necessary abortion providers are incest homosexuals, they undoubtedly stopped getting the abortions, at the latest, when they learned the truth about the providers. To be fair, however, heterosexuals have never been more than a negligible percentage of the girls and women receiving abortions. In fact, I wouldn't be surprised if medically necessary abortions are *at least* virtually all of the abortions ever obtained by heterosexual girls and women.

Lastly, it's possible that homosexuals are still having *unintended* abortions after impregnating or being impregnated by unrelated homosexuals via heterosexual intercourse resulting from the influence of drugs or alcohol, including during "Auschwitzers'" perverse, voluntary "sexual assault" ritual that will be discussed later.

29. It's important to remember that the people who participate in non-medically necessary abortions are being aborted from America and from the rest of creation.

30. Homosexual Americans should be called "homociduals" because they're the only Americans intentionally perpetrating homicides in America.

31. Severe child abuse, including cultural homosexuality, deserves the death penalty. One reason is that severely abusing young children and minors produces a disproportionately large number of public officials who perpetrate rebellion, treason, and other major crimes. Even better, the death penalty for severe child abusers will result in far less suffering and crime, including sexual, violent, and political crimes, and far fewer dictatorial or oppressive governments throughout the world. Additionally, the death penalty is just punishment for severe child abuse when we consider the purposes of the abusers (especially the political purposes), and how the abuse affects the victims, innocent people, the entire nation, and America's tradition of real freedom.

32. It would be better, including humane, to euthanize perpetrators and tolerators of severe child abuse, partly because they hate their own lives. Furthermore, there would be far fewer victims and far less suffering, murder, and crime in general as a result.

33. Prior to their deaths, homosexuals who committed suicide were of little value, if any, because they followed homosexual culture. In particular, they didn't talk to heterosexuals about what was going on in their lives, especially the circumstances that led to suicide. No anonymous phone calls were made or letters written that explained their hell-on-earth, which would have enabled patriotic Americans to take action and improve America as quickly as possible. That's why we lose little or nothing with their passing, but gain peace and safety.

34. It's already over, beyond twilight, for homosexuals in non-institutional private society. For they can't recruit any heterosexuals into their culture. Furthermore, heterosexuals aren't giving them the dignity and respect or influence they need to survive, including tolerating child abuse and homosexual culture in the workplace.

35. People who perpetrate severe child abuse are vile, filthy, sinister, and a lower form of human being. That's why we must be less discriminatory about who we drop our bombs on. For child abusers in America are just as bad if not worse than enemies we've bombed in foreign countries. In addition, people who perpetrate severe child abuse must be put out of their own misery and permanently removed from creation. The reason is that mankind benefits far less from civilization when child abusers substantially influence the public or private realm.

36. If you've severely abused children and haven't been cured of it, then you'll respect no boundaries, including America's tradition of real freedom and the lives of individuals who are faithful to the tradition. That's what severe child abuse does. Therefore, it would be better to execute people who perpetrate the crime. Nonetheless, people who severely abuse children and do not repent and receive forgiveness from the Almighty will surely suffer eternal hell for their crimes.

37. The adult incest homosexual family members of an incest homosexual who perpetrates murder, violence, or any other serious crime against another person or America, and all other incest homosexuals who severely abused the incest homosexual perpetrator when the perpetrator was a young child or minor, should also be charged with, convicted of, and strongly punished for the crime, including with the death penalty. For they knew that the severe abuse they inflicted on the child has severe mental and emotional consequences for the child that often lead to filthy, inhumane, violent, and deadly behavior by such abused children. They also knew what evil, immorality, and criminal behavior other perpetrators had in their family and tribe when the crimes were perpetrated. Therefore, it's irrelevant whether they intended for the abuse of the child to lead to non-sexual, major crimes against other people or America, although all of them *do* intend that. As a result, that's enough for them to be charged with and convicted of the crime perpetrated by the incest homosexual who they abused as a child. Realistically speaking, every other requirement for charging, convicting, and punishing them, including proving they *intended* to cause the abused child to kill, is impractical, irrational, and worthless to the cause of real freedom.

38. Consider corrupt, incest homosexual public officials who don't want to prosecute as child abuse "gender-affirming" treatments and surgeries for young minors. At first glance, the public officials who support the treatments and surgeries might appear to be

genuinely helping or sympathetic to the children that desire or “need” the treatment. In reality, however, the public officials are making it easier and less costly for parents, other family members, and other incest homosexuals to perpetrate child abuse and consequently induce their children into incest homosexuality, including transcestism. For when the children undergo the treatments and surgeries they are validating their parents’ incest homosexual culture that the children know is abusive, filthy, illegal, and destructive. Furthermore, the children hide the evil of incest homosexual culture partly because their parents and other abusers induced the children into perpetrating abusive, filthy, illegal, and destructive acts and don’t want to give the effort to abandon such an evil culture. Thus, the treatments and surgeries are submission to evil, not fighting the evil that the child’s parents and other abusers practice in attempting to destroy the child’s life.

Sadly, corrupt, incest homosexual public officials, including prosecutors, are often pro-child abuse or duplicitous with respect to child abuse in their public policy decisions. Even worse, as private society moves in a more anti-child abuse direction, the Democratic Party moves in a more pro-child abuse and tolerance of child abuse direction. And the dominant reason why these incest homosexual public officials are at least occasionally pro-child abuse or tolerant of child abuse is because they have, and endured, severe child abuse in their families, including sex trafficking, mental and emotional abuse, transcestism, coerced abortions, endangerment, neglect, and cruelty. In fact, these public officials are both the abusers and the abused. Accordingly, if they don’t at least occasionally further or tolerate child abuse in the public realm they won’t receive the favorable treatment they desire from their abusive family members and other abusive incest homosexuals like themselves. From another perspective, they’d be severely criticized and harassed by other incest homosexuals for practicing incest homosexual culture in their private lives but no longer supporting it in the public realm.

39. Pro-homosexual flags, symbols, and slogans represent people who support and perpetrate child abuse as a means to achieve their political objectives. Also, when homosexuals fly a pro-homosexual flag by itself, or alongside the U.S. flag or a state flag, they intend for it to represent power or shared power, conquest, or a separate nation within a nation.

40. Now is the worst time in history for homosexuals because no homosexuals are in the closet anymore. Consequently, virtually everybody can identify homosexuals, understand homosexual culture, and observe homosexuals’ irreversibly declining status and population. In addition, homosexual rights laws and other pro-homosexual actions inside and outside the institutions are simply fraudulent reactions, or a fraudulent exterior, to homosexuals’ irreversibly declining status and population. Incredibly, many homosexuals, especially incest homosexuals, believe that the more aggressive the anti-

homosexual cause or the more the condition of homosexuals worsens, the more aggressively homosexuals are supposed to behave both inside and outside the institutions, including in their policy objectives and in how they treat their own young children and conservatives.

41. A substantial percentage of the business activity in America is (1) the “*other* free enterprise system”, in which people work for free but attempt to appear as if they’re earning money, (2) guilds, in which those who earn income have far less than total freedom to spend their income as they desire and to associate with whom they choose, and (3) dependent upon perpetrating crime, including prostitution, incest, severe sexual abuse and other abuse of children, and indirectly supporting or tolerating a wide range of criminal behavior perpetrated by others. For homosexuals, the dominant reason for engaging in one or more of the three is to maintain the appearance of success. This is especially true for homosexuals who’ve experienced substantial loss or defeat, including at the hands of conservatives. Sadly, millions of homosexuals are still trying to establish the “*other* free enterprise system”, guilds, and criminal behavior in general, supplemented by government money and other benefits, as the preeminent economic system in America, despite the fact that it destroyed their lives or made their lives substantially worse. For it’s their illegal, perverse, desperate, defiant answer to a capitalist, individualist, and free enterprise system. Other homosexuals aren’t so enthusiastic about making the system preeminent, but substantially participate in it or further it to varying degrees.

42. The timing of the large spike in crime in the U.S. in the 1960s and the legalization of abortion less than a decade after that is no coincidence. For the crime and abortions are indicators of incest homosexuals’ worsening condition, desperation, self-hatred, and desire to get something going on and escape their hell-on-earth as quickly as possible, even at the expense of America’s tradition of real freedom and of human life in general.

43. Many homosexuals say “I was born this way” when referring to their homosexuality. However, they’re speaking cryptically. For what they truly mean is that they were born into a family that began abusing them sexually and in other ways immediately or soon after they were born, or when they were young children, and there was nothing they could do to escape the abuse or to avoid adopting their family’s culture as time passed under the abuse.

44. When a homosexual says “god” or “God”, what the homosexual is often referring to is the homosexual community or homosexual culture in general. In some cases, what the homosexual is referring to is the homosexual’s family and tribal practices.

45. The frequent substituting of heterosexual sex for homosexual sex, and of heterosexual relationships for homosexual relationships, throughout movies and television programs created by homosexuals is an excellent reason to believe that homosexuality is evil, immoral, fraudulent, unnatural, unpatriotic, and consequently should be illegal and strongly punished. (Realistically speaking, homosexuality is still illegal. For nobody takes seriously the illegal public policy that decriminalized homosexual sex and created other homosexual rights. Furthermore, in private society homosexuals are deservingly treated like a lesser form of human being and have no country to call home.) Nonetheless, we're not expecting movies and television programs created by homosexuals to show homosexuality *as it truly is* in the real world. Rather, we're expecting movies and television programs to include obviously homosexual characters when the characters are, or would be, homosexuals in real life, instead of substituting heterosexual characters for homosexual characters. For example, substituting a woman *in place of* either a male transvestite dressed as a woman or a homosexual man dressed as a male. In other words, we're expecting movies and television programming created by homosexuals to be accurate regarding gender and sexual orientation. Why? Because doing otherwise is no different than substituting people of one race in place of people of another race, or people of one nationality in place of people of another nationality, or people of one religion in place of people of another religion, *and intentionally making the substitutes look as bad as the people they're substituting for*. Accordingly, it should be specifically illegal throughout the media to be knowingly or intentionally inaccurate regarding gender and sexual orientation, including in movies, television programs, books, and in all forms of journalism. For example, statements from government officials and news reports which describe a male transvestite dressed as a female a "woman", "transgender woman", "girl", or "wife".

46. Movies, television programs, and other entertainment must be accurate regarding sexual orientation and gender. Otherwise, patriotic Americans will strongly punish the people who create or participate in the entertainment. In truth, substituting heterosexual characters in place of characters who are or would be homosexuals in real life is no different than substituting people of one religion for people of another religion, or people of one race for people of another race, or women for men, or men for women. Interestingly, some of the people who accuse conservatives of racial and gender discrimination are the same people creating, participating in other ways in, or supporting the discriminatory and propagandistic entertainment.

47. All entertainment must be accurate regarding gender and sexual orientation. Otherwise, it's discriminatory and propagandistic and consequently deserving of both official and unofficial punishment, including destroying the legitimate monetary opportunities of people who knowingly participate in the discrimination and

propaganda. Also, the discrimination and propaganda are indefensible and automatically reveal the evil nature of homosexuality and the multipurpose nature of the entertainment, which is to entertain, defraud, and deceive, and to destroy America's tradition of real freedom.

48. Racism is practiced by a substantial percentage of non-incest homosexuals. But the discrimination is not nearly as popular or extreme as it is among incest homosexuals.

49. Racism is merely a symptom. And all or almost all of the time homosexuality is the disease which causes racism. Furthermore, child abuse is the sole cause or almost sole cause of homosexuality in contemporary America because homosexuals lost the ability to recruit from heterosexuals. Additionally, the worst effects of racism come from incest homosexuals. However, racism is prevalent in the non-incest homosexual community, although it's not nearly as bad. Also, racism is a problem in the homosexual community, not in the heterosexual community.

50. Like all slave traders in 18th and 19th centuries America, all slave traders doing business in contemporary America are incest homosexuals.

51. The behaviors of the opposing participants in at least many lynchings that occurred long ago makes me believe that the participants on both sides were homosexuals. Specifically, it appears that both sides behaved in ways they shouldn't have. For example, the white people were racist. As a result, the black victims didn't want to comply with the white people and responded in a manner that didn't avoid death.

52. Child sex slavery in contemporary America is much worse than the enslavement of blacks centuries ago. For slaveholders in the 18th and 19th centuries didn't treat their black slaves as badly as incest homosexuals in contemporary America treat their young children. In particular, slaveholders in past centuries rarely murdered a black slave. But incest homosexuals in contemporary America regularly murder their young children and substantially contribute to the wrongful death of their young children in other ways. And I'm not convinced that sexual abuse of a black slave, *if it ever happened at all*, was anything more than a very rare occurrence. In fact, a white slaveowner having sex with a black slave was as likely to happen as a Nazi having sex with a Jew.

Also, some incest homosexuals kill their young child who is not a sex slave, and who the parents and other incest homosexuals have not sexually abused at all. The reason is that the parents do not want to make the young child a sex slaves or sexually abuse the young child in any other way. Specifically, the parents don't want the burden of parenting a young child who is a sex slave or don't want to force their young child into a culture that is not producing enough benefits for the parents. In other words, they don't

want to force the child into sex slavery or sexually abuse the child in any other way simply because that's what happened to them or because they have the power to do it. Sadly, however, the parents don't give the child up for adoption or ask decent heterosexual family members or other decent heterosexuals to care for the child because that will bring too much criticism from their fellow incest homosexuals. Why? Because other incest homosexuals will think the parents are treating the child humanely, which is not allowed since child abuse is one of the major reasons why incest homosexuals' lives' are ruined, and because the parents are choosing to remain incest homosexuals. From another perspective, the child abuse is one of the incest homosexuals' proud houses partly because of the punishment inflicted by patriotic Americans. Therefore, incest homosexuals believe that if they stop abusing the child or treat the child humanely it will make establishing their family and tribal practices substantially more difficult or impossible because they would be behaving in ways recommended by conservatives.

53. For decades, extreme incest homosexual parents have induced, forced, and harassed their pubescent or early stage puberty child to take drugs to the point of being unconscious. Furthermore, before the child takes the drugs the parents tell the child that the child will be sexually abused while unconscious by an unrelated person and that the abuse is a family and tribal practice necessary to stay on good terms with family and tribal members. As expected, failure to go along with being sexually assaulted while unconscious will bring about severe criticism and harassment from incest homosexual family members and other incest homosexuals. The parents also tell the child that she might get pregnant and have to obtain an abortion or that the child's semen will be used to impregnate an older woman who will afterwards obtain an abortion. Then, the parents allow the unconscious, drugged child to be sexually assaulted by an unrelated incest homosexual who is at least much older than the child. Not surprisingly, the young girls often become pregnant and must obtain an abortion. The girl is taught that if she doesn't give dignity and respect or influence to the man that impregnated her that the man and other incest homosexuals, including her family members and other incest homosexuals in her age group, will severely criticize and harass her. Also, the young unconscious boy's penis is fondled and his testicles are squeezed to the point where semen is emitted, including in some cases with the aid of a straw that is placed at the end of the boy's penis and sucked on by one of the participants. Afterwards, the semen is used to impregnate an unrelated older incest homosexual female who later obtains an abortion. The boy is taught that if he doesn't give dignity and respect or influence to the woman that was impregnated with his semen that the woman and other incest homosexuals, including his family members and other incest homosexuals in his age group, will severely criticize and harass him. Even worse, the family members and other incest homosexuals use the abortion against the child by strongly criticizing and harassing the child for the child's role in the abortion. Finally, I believe this evil, filthy incest homosexual family and tribal

practice has for decades been a major contributor to the number of abortions obtained each year in America.

54. The incest homosexual parents' purpose for explaining to the child in advance that the child will be sexually assaulted while drugged and unconscious is to help the child understand and remain in the parents' incest homosexual culture. This filthy, evil ritual is one example of severe child abuse perpetrated over the course of decades by millions of extreme incest homosexuals in America. Sadly, however, it might not be the worst. For there is another example of extreme incest homosexuals' abuse of an unconscious, drugged young child that is an extreme version of a diabolical ritual that I believe has existed in America for centuries, although it occurred less frequently compared to how often it occurred among extreme incest homosexuals in recent years. Furthermore, prior to 2019, the unconscious, drugged child in these rituals was typically if not always sexually assaulted and subjected to other filth and abuse to a much lesser degree. But beginning in 2019 the abuse started occurring frequently and became filthier, more violent, more inhumane, and bloodier. Specifically, the abuse perpetrated in recent years on the unconscious young child by the extreme incest homosexuals included gang raping the child by having vaginal and anal sex with the child; submerging the child's head in containers filled with feces, urine, other filth, and toxic substances; electrocution; harshly hitting, slapping, and whipping the child; dropping or throwing the child to the ground; genital mutilation; cutting or stabbing the child on various parts of the child's body; putting foreign objects inside the child's anus or vagina; taking pictures or making videos of the child that are sexually explicit, filthy, or bizarre; inserting dangerous chemicals into the child's mouth, nose, anus, or vagina; using a syringe to inject harmful chemicals and other substances into the body of a child; and performing various other experiments with a child's body, which is why I call these incest homosexuals "Auschwitzers" or "Auschwitches". For their behaviors are reminiscent of the inhumane, disgusting experiments that Nazis performed on prisoners in concentration camps. Also, some of the perpetrators hoped to permanently cripple or disfigure their victims as a means to identify or brand the victims. Even worse, I believe that many of the perpetrators were hoping to begin killing the children, including with methods that they used to kill animals, and were moving closer to that goal every day that passed.

Not surprisingly, some child victims have lasting scars or injuries or are sick for many days after waking up. In some cases, the "Auschwitzer" parents took their child to the doctor or emergency room to receive care for the child and told their child before arriving what to say was the cause of the injury or sickness. Furthermore, the parents often blamed the child for the pain and sickness inflicted on the unconscious, drugged child. And the child would accept the blame because the child didn't understand what happened while the child was unconscious and drugged, and was afraid and incapable of doing otherwise. In other cases, the parents gave their child illegal steroids or other

drugs after the child woke up from the abuse so that the child would feel better and survive the day.

In addition, the “Auschwitzers” gang-raped and abused in other ways, unconscious, drugged young children for many reasons. First, and perhaps most obvious, they wanted to fulfill their sexual, violent, and potentially deadly fantasies without the victims knowing what they were doing. Second, they abused the young children so that in other circumstances they could treat the children better or decently, for example, while in the presence of heterosexuals. Third, and most importantly, they increased the child abuse because they wanted to believe they were fooling normal heterosexuals and making normal heterosexuals appear ignorant, and themselves more powerful, by doing something horrible that normal heterosexuals believe is repugnant, didn’t want them to do, and didn’t know was happening. In other words, they wanted to do something that they believe defies, ridicules, damages, and contributes to the destruction of the high quality of life that is the purpose of America’s tradition of real freedom. Fourth, many incest homosexuals increased the child abuse in recent years after they were found out for, and severely criticized and punished in other ways for, animal abuse, which is another popular way for “Auschwitzers” and other incest homosexuals to believe that they’re making normal heterosexuals appear ignorant and themselves more powerful by doing something the heterosexuals find repugnant and didn’t understand enough about. Sadly, the “Auschwitzers” were looking for something in addition to animals to severely abuse and dominate, and young children were easy targets. Consequently, “Auschwitzers” increased the frequency and severity of the child abuse. Fifth, “Auschwitzers” were envious of normal heterosexuals, who “Auschwitzers” believed were having a disproportionate influence in society. Therefore, they wanted to “compete” with normal heterosexuals by having something of their own going on that furthered their evil, filthy culture.

As expected, abusing young children makes the “Auschwitzers” feel horrible about themselves. As a result, they trash each other and follow after and treat the young victims poorly.

55. “Auschwitzer” parents consented to their young children being victimized because the parents abused or agreed to abuse the young children of other “Auschwitzers” in the same or similar way. Interestingly, “Auschwitzer” parents of the young victims sometimes punish other “Auschwitzers” for being too harsh with the victims, even though the “Auschwitzer” parents were sexually and physically abusing other children. Furthermore, being too harsh means the abuse resulted in a trip to a hospital or emergency room or could have potentially crippled, maimed, or killed the child or required extensive medical treatment.

Even more disturbing is that in some cases a particular child was chosen for the abuse because at least one of the “Auschwitzer” perpetrators had a problem with the

child or with at least one of the parents of the child and were exacting revenge on the child. For example, the “Auschwitzer” perpetrators chose a young child because the child said good things about, or behaved positively towards, someone the perpetrators didn’t like. Then, the “Auschwitzer” perpetrators arranged with the child’s parents to have the child turned over to the perpetrators. Afterwards, the “Auschwitzer” perpetrators gave drugs to the child and abused the child, especially while the child was unconscious.

Also, in some cases, convicted sex offenders and other criminals were brought in and allowed to sexually and physically assault the young child victim. The purpose of this evil, filthy act was to resurrect or rehabilitate the convicted criminal because it allowed the other “Auschwitzers” to give the convicted criminal more dignity and respect or influence than the criminal received prior to participating in the evil, filthy act.

56. Prior to a young child being drugged, the “Auschwitzers” would often treat the child disrespectfully, including mildly hitting the child, teasing and taunting the child, and making the child afraid or uncomfortable. Sadly, however, some of the abuse of the conscious child was much worse, including electrocution; throwing the child into a pool even though the child couldn’t swim; putting harmful chemicals on the child’s sexual organ and anus, and in the child’s mouth, throat, eyes, and nose; whipping the child; harshly striking the child on the child’s head and other parts of the child’s body; lighting a firecracker that was inserted in the child’s anus; putting the child in the presence of an animal that was intended to scare the child, including a dog given illegal steroids; and other kinds of neglect, abuse, and endangerment of the child.

Moreover, the “Auschwitzers” were very interested in learning what abuse in particular achieved the desired reaction from the child. That is, abuse of the conscious child was partly intended to induce the child to give the abusers dignity and respect or influence or to ask the abusers what is necessary to stop the abuse and consequently to go along with whatever the abusers asked the child to do. Also, the abusers wanted to cause the child to react that way because the abusers lost favorable interactions with almost all other people, thanks to the exposure of incest homosexual culture, and were looking to induce or force any other people they could find to go along with what they were doing. Not surprisingly, the other people they found were their own young children and the young children of other “Auschwitzers” because nobody else was available or as susceptible as the young children.

Lastly, the abuse of conscious young children was presented to the children as being less aggressive or dangerous than it actually is in order to make the children think that no real or serious harm is being done and that the “Auschwitzers” were not bad intentioned. In reality, however, the abuse was severe and was intended to have substantial negative effects on the children’s behaviors and development. For example, by causing the children to behave violently and negatively in other ways towards other children and adults.

57. One example of what is especially harsh about “Auschwitzer” culture is that they stopped giving their young children and the young children of other “Auschwitzers” the basic level of support and understanding they gave to the children prior to 2019, before they were “Auschwitzers”. In particular, the “Auschwitzer” parents didn’t force and induce the children into “Auschwitzer” culture or into the culture that the “Auschwitzer” parents were forced and induced into prior to becoming “Auschwitzers”. Consequently, the children were not only victims of the cultures, the children were also outsiders of the cultures. Realistically speaking, the “Auschwitzers” left their young children to fend for themselves, with no guidance whatsoever, more frequently and dangerously than the “Auschwitzers” did before becoming “Auschwitzers”. From another perspective, many “Auschwitzer” parents knew, before their children were born or shortly thereafter, that they couldn’t treat their children as good as they were treated, including forcing and inducing the children into the same culture they were forced and induced into. The reason is that the “Auschwitzer” parents’ conditions were so bad that they felt giving their children equal treatment wouldn’t be beneficial. For doing so would bring about more of the same and, ironically, criticism and other negative treatment from other “Auschwitzers” who also didn’t want more of the same.

58. Some “Auschwitzer” parents are physically, mentally, and emotionally (but not sexually) abusing their young children, and not allowing their young children to be sexually abused by others, at least not yet. The reason is that the “Auschwitzer” parents don’t want the children to go along with the sexual abuse inflicted by other “Auschwitzers” or with the sexual abuse that was suffered by the “Auschwitzer” parents before becoming “Auschwitzers”, especially the abuse inflicted by older family members. In fact, many “Auschwitzers” don’t want to add more people to a culture that they know doesn’t achieve what they want it to achieve or achieves nothing more than allowing the “Auschwitzers” to fulfill their evil, filthy sexual desires and satisfy their appetites for violence and, eventually, murder and other kinds of death. Therefore, they must abuse their young children physically, mentally, and emotionally (but not sexually) and successfully induce the children to go along with, tolerate, or accommodate their culture without being members of their culture.

Alternatively, other “Auschwitzers” allow their young children to be sexually abused *while unconscious and drugged* because the other “Auschwitzers” don’t want the children to be sexually abused while the children are conscious, regardless of whether the children are drugged. Why? Because similar to the “Auschwitzers” mentioned above, the other “Auschwitzers” don’t want to add more people to a system that the other “Auschwitzers” know doesn’t work. Nonetheless, the other “Auschwitzers” must severely abuse their children. However, since the children are unconscious, they are not sufficiently aware of the abuse that is occurring, which means the children are not truly

tolerating or accommodating the parents' "Auschwitzer" culture. Accordingly, because the young children will likely be much more powerful than the parents if the children are not properly abused, the parents must find ways to weaken the children and to get the children to tolerate or accommodate the parents' "Auschwitzer" culture without being members of the culture. The result is that the "Auschwitzer" parents must also physically, mentally, and emotionally (but not sexually), abuse their children while the children are conscious and aware of the abuse.

Finally, some "Auschwitzers" sexually abuse their young children and allow other incest homosexuals to sexually abuse their young children while the children are conscious and drugged. However, this involves added danger not present with children who are sexually abused while unconscious or conscious and *not* drugged. In particular, the child's behavior is sometimes less predictable when the child is conscious and drugged. Also, the conscious, drugged child behaves in other ways that some of the parents don't approve of, including behaviors that other incest homosexuals use against the drugged child to justify, increase, or continue the sexual abuse of the child. For example, the conscious, drugged child acts like he or she doesn't care that the sexual abuse is occurring or is enjoying or consenting to the sexual abuse, in addition to doing and saying other things that the child wouldn't do and say if the child were conscious and not drugged.

59. From one perspective, the "Auschwitzers" made a huge mistake because their sexual and other abuse of young children was secretive and not approved by extreme incest homosexuals who aren't "Auschwitzers" and who are one step below the "Auschwitzers" in perversity, filth, and violence. In other words, "Auschwitzers" participated in *unauthorized*, harsh, domineering treatment of young children, which is not supposed to happen in extreme incest homosexual culture. Accordingly, the "Auschwitzers" made their own lives substantially worse because they lost the association of and support from extreme incest homosexuals who aren't "Auschwitzers".

60. "Auschwitzers" abuse of children was extremely violent, filthy and evil. The reason is that the abusers' lives were so bad that they didn't know what else to do to believe they were ruining normal heterosexuals' hopes for America and that they had something "important" going on while normal heterosexuals were gaining in power and influence throughout America. (Incidentally, that's the same way homosexual Democratic Party public officials and other collectivist public officials behave in the public policy realm.) Also, "Auschwitzers" were punishing children more severely because they were being punished more severely by conservatives. Consequently, the abusers, who are called "Auschwitzers" or "Auschwitches" because of their experimental punishment and gross disrespect of young children that is reminiscent of how Nazis treated concentration camp prisoners, have been deservedly beaten and will continue to

be beaten, provided they're not executed first. Furthermore, they are now jobless, homeless, and impoverished in far greater numbers than they were before their severe abuse of children was discovered.

61. At least a majority of "Auschwitzers", while unconscious and drugged, allow themselves to be "sexually abused" by other "Auschwitzers" in their group. Specifically, the "Auschwitzers" perform sexual acts and other filthy behaviors on the unconscious "victim", including putting feces, urine, and semen on the "victim". However, a male "Auschwitzer" is not supposed to penetrate with his penis an unconscious female "victim's" vagina, especially one of child-bearing age. In some groups, penetration of a "victim's" mouth or anus by a penis is also not permitted. As a result, the "sexual abuse" of a consenting, drugged "victim", and other filthy behaviors, keeps the "Auschwitzers" from participating in *unauthorized* sexual activity.

Nonetheless, "Auschwitzers" who participate in these "sexual abuse" rituals are much harsher on unknowing, unconscious, drugged young children than they are on the knowing, unconscious, drugged "Auschwitzers". Why? Because the purpose of the sexual activity and other filthy behaviors is not the same for rituals involving knowing, unconscious, drugged "Auschwitzers" as it is for unknowing, unconscious, drugged young children. (Incidentally, this reminds me of how child porn is real but consensual adult porn is typically or always fake or "movie magic".) More precisely, at least almost all of the time the consensual "sexual abuse" isn't violent and is far from being as filthy, inhumane, or dangerous as the abuse perpetrated against young children. The primary reason is that the "sexual abuse" is designed to obligate the "sexual abusers" and "victims" to provide useful or desired information to each other, which is not the reason for the "Auschwitzers'" sexual abuse of young children who are *not* expected to give the "Auschwitzers" such information. In other words, the "Auschwitzers" participate in the "sexual abuse" of knowing, unconscious, drugged "Auschwitzers" to make it safe or acceptable to share or obtain important information about certain problems in their lives. In particular, the problems that caused a proud house or that one or more "Auschwitzers" are getting criticism for, don't know how to stop, and can't get help for through other means or from other people. Therefore, participation in the "sexual abuse" ritual means the participants must provide each other that information if or when it's known to them.

However, a major problem is that the "sexual abuse" ritual is self-destructive, including causing physical sickness and mental and emotional trauma that lingers years afterwards. Even worse, because of the influence of drugs sometimes used by the participants, the "sexual abuse" ritual sometimes becomes extreme, violent, or more dangerous than intended. I believe it's possible that some of the female "victims" get pregnant during some of these out-of-control rituals and obtain an abortion afterwards.

Also, in some of these rituals, one or more of the participants brings in an "Auschwitzer" that the unconscious, drugged "victim" didn't know was going to

participate and wouldn't want to participate. This is often an "Auschwitzer" that the unconscious, drugged "victim" doesn't like or has a problem with. Accordingly, after the "sexual abuse" ritual is over the "Auschwitzer" that was brought in now has a closer bond to the "Auschwitzer" that was the unconscious, drugged "victim" and other "Auschwitzers" in the group, and must provide, if or when known, the information that is the purpose of the "sexual abuse" ritual. Likewise, the other "Auschwitzers" in the group must provide the same for the "Auschwitzer" that was brought in unbeknownst to the "victim".

Moreover, what is particularly degrading about this filthy "sexual abuse" ritual is that because the participants are taking turns on the unconscious "victim's" body, the participants must ingest multiple peoples' bodily fluids during oral contact with the "victim's" anus, sexual organ, and other body parts. Plus, to make sure that each conscious participant has it bad enough, the first participant to "sexually abuse" the unconscious "victim" must eat or drink the "victim's" feces or urine before performing other sexual and filthy acts on the "victim's" body so that the first participant's experience is as bad as the experiences of the participants that follow. Also, dogs are sometimes brought in to lick the unconscious, drugged "victim's" body after all of the human participants are finished.

Lastly, "Auschwitzers" often look for new "Auschwitzer" recruits to participate in these filthy "sexual abuse" rituals. And they often base their decision of who to recruit into their group on the similarity of a problem a potential recruit has to a problem of existing group members, including a problem with a particular heterosexual.

62. Another "Auschwitzer" ritual involves a female "Auschwitzer" participant who is *not* unconscious and a male dog that is drugged to maintain an erection. The female participant allows the dog the opportunity to insert his penis into her vagina. In other "Auschwitzer" rituals, a male or female participant performs oral sex on a dog's penis or vagina or licks a dog's anus, or vice versa, or a male participant has anal or vaginal sex with a dog. And in all rituals the other "Auschwitzers" in the group are spectators to the bestiality. Furthermore, the bestiality, like the filthy sex acts among human participants, ensures that no enjoyment comes from what the participants are doing in order to make it safe to obtain useful or desired information. Stated differently, the bestiality ensures that sharing the information without violating "Auschwitzer" culture is what really matters.

63. There are *at least* approximately 1 million "Auschwitzers" in America. Furthermore, the total number of extreme incest homosexuals in America, including "Auschwitzers", is at least 5 - 6 million. Additionally, all incest homosexuals have horrific sexual practices, but "Auschwitzers" have the worst.

64. It's extremely probable if not 100% certain that at least a large majority of "Auschwitzers" regularly vote, all or almost all voting "Auschwitzers" vote for the Democratic Party, no "Auschwitzers" vote Republican, and all "Auschwitzers" support illegal immigration. In addition, the primary reasons "Auschwitzers" vote Democrat are to make life worse for conservatives and, eventually, to destroy America's tradition of real freedom. Furthermore, "Auschwitzers" vote for the Democratic Party because they believe the party provides substantial support for and tolerance of incest homosexual culture, including the incest homosexual culture practiced by "Auschwitzers".

65. Transcestites and other incest homosexuals with openly strange, disrespectful, or dangerous behaviors are typically child-abusing sissies. In fact, a large percentage of incest homosexuals are at least occasionally trannies because if they try to get something going on otherwise they'll be criticized and harassed too strongly by other incest homosexuals, including for their sexual crimes against young children. Also, the victims in their sexual crimes, some of whom are still minors, while others are now adults, are often the people that harshly criticize and harass them for trying to get something going on too honorably or too normally. Not surprisingly, years after being victimized, some of the former child victims strongly punish the perpetrators of crimes against the victims, including with corporal punishment and other punishment that is the same as or substantially similar to crimes perpetrated against the former child victims. For example, forcing the perpetrator to eat feces or drink urine and harming the perpetrator's genitalia.

66. Homosexuals kneel during the national anthem and sling mud at America in other ways, even though they won't openly admit that homosexuality is the reason for their actions. That is, homosexuals' wrongdoings in past decades and centuries, and in contemporary America, are the reasons why homosexuals disrespect America. Sadly, homosexuals cannot respond to past and current wrongdoings of other homosexuals in a way that will offend or expose homosexuals like themselves. The reason is that they'll be severely criticized and harassed and have their past sexual problems (including with young children and family members) and other bad behaviors shoved in their faces more than before. Consequently, it will be much more difficult, if not impossible, for the responding homosexuals to get something worthwhile of their own going on or to have enough peace of mind. Also, homosexuals don't want to be heroic, or honorable, or try hard for something truly worthwhile because other homosexuals will trash them from all directions, including the homosexuals who have the worst sexual problems in common with them.

67. Homosexuals who kneel during America's national anthem are throwing mud at the flag and at America's entire tradition of real freedom. Furthermore, they're behaving in a manner that doesn't further the tradition because they intentionally don't address

the cause of the problem they're kneeling about or admit what the real problem is. Also, the homosexuals kneeling, burning flags, and behaving stupidly in other ways aren't solving important problems, saying anything truly intelligent, or substantially contributing in any other way to keeping America's tradition of real freedom moving forward as intended by the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*. In truth, all they're achieving is showing the entire world that they were abused sexually and in other ways as young children, especially by their own family members, and still haven't appropriately handled the abuse.

68. It appears that incest homosexuals are entirely responsible for the violent and deadly crimes in the Capital Hill riots of January 2021. This is not surprising because if they're going to protest alongside conservatives, then they must behave stupidly or dishonorably to distinguish themselves from conservatives or to compensate for their participating with conservatives. Otherwise, they'll be criticized harshly by other homosexuals, especially incest homosexuals. Additional reasons for the incest homosexual rioters' extreme behaviors are (1) they wanted to make the Republican Party and conservatives in general look bad; (2) they were angry that homosexual Democrat public officials were causing so much trouble, including making life harder for homosexuals, and the violence and death was their way of saying "we don't like what you're doing", or their way of paying back or taking their frustrations out on the Democratic Party for the trouble it caused, even though they continue to vote for homosexual Democrat public officials; (3) they just wanted to cause trouble to see if they could get something going on for themselves and other homosexuals; (4) they hate their own lives and the hatred and frustration, along with the punishment they're receiving for their evil, filthy lifestyles, are causing violent and deadly outbursts; and (5) they hate America's tradition of real freedom. Also, it's at least probable that all of the incest homosexuals at the riots didn't vote Republican. Ironically, they'll promote the Republican Party publicly or on their social network sites. But they can't vote Republican. This indicates that if the incest homosexuals weren't bound by incest homosexual culture they'd vote for conservative public officials. In the meantime, they'll promote conservative public officials and policies, but vote for collectivist parties, including the Democratic Party, or not vote at all. Incredibly, homosexuals deceitfully call the deadly and violent rioters Republicans to incite people against conservatives and the Republican Party. Furthermore, homosexuals are being selfish and duplicitous by not mentioning the deadly and violent rioters' incest homosexuality.

69. Virtually all illegal immigrants, and a substantial percentage of undocumented and other "legal" immigrants, should take their filthy homosexual diseases and behaviors back to where they came from. For the words on the Statue of Liberty are not "give us your incesters, child abusers, sex traffickers, transcestites, "Auschwitzers", and all other

demon-possessed collectivists yearning to destroy real freedom". In fact, the words on the Statute of Liberty are "Give me your tired, your poor, your huddled masses yearning to breathe free".

70. Virtually all illegal immigrants' manner of migration *is* the fraud that is equivalent to the fraud perpetrated by all homosexual Americans, especially those in government who do not secure America's borders or adopt or enforce other rational, constitutionally required immigration policy. The reason is that the illegal immigrants can't give America's tradition of real freedom the dignity and respect or influence it deserves because they must obey homosexual culture. Stated differently, illegal immigrants' manner of migration *is* their way of showing how they're putting homosexual culture first. Furthermore, the illegal immigration is idealized to serve as illegal immigrant's contribution to the fraudulent exterior that all homosexuals are promoting, which doesn't tell the true story underneath: that homosexuals are attempting to illegally destroy America's tradition of real freedom by defying the tradition as often as possible. In fact, obeying America's *legal* immigration policies *is* giving America's tradition of real freedom too much dignity and respect. Therefore, to achieve their goals of living in America and staying in tune with homosexual culture, they choose to migrate in a manner that defies the tradition, including the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution*.

71. At least almost all illegal immigrants genuinely want to live in America, even more than they want to live in their home country. But they come here illegally because they can't give America's tradition of real freedom the influence it deserves. Thus, coming here illegally *is* their contribution to disrespecting America's tradition of real freedom, including the *Constitution*. Also, the illegal crossings are idealized to serve as a component of the fraudulent exterior that is designed to hide the true intention of all collectivists, which is to illegally destroy the tradition. In other words, illegal immigration *is* illegal immigrants' way of showing how they're putting homosexual culture first. Accordingly, they must take their incest, child abuse, transcestism, and the rest of their filthy homosexual diseases and behaviors back to where they came from.

VII. CONCLUSION

America's tradition of real freedom has never been a respecter of persons. Not once in the nearly 450-year tradition has collectivism substantially influenced public policy without worsening collectivists' condition in non-institutional private society, the dominant realm. Furthermore, the *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* prohibit collectivism and other personal failings in public policy. Otherwise, there could be no

life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, and no establishing justice, as the Founders envisioned.

Nonetheless, collectivists continue to force their family and tribal practices upon patriotic Americans. In particular, Democratic Party public officials and both homosexual and heterosexual fake conservative public officials are fraudulently and unconvincingly interpreting the *Constitution* in a manner that elevates their family and tribal practices, proud houses, and other personal failings above America's tradition of real freedom. For example, the *Declaration of Independence* states that governments are instituted to secure the unalienable rights *given by the Creator*. Furthermore, except for the lack of an immediate prohibition of slavery, nothing in the *Constitution* at the time of its adoption and in its current form conflicts with the *Declaration's* purpose for government. Therefore, unless the *Constitution* is first amended, *legitimate* government cannot protect, support, or further any actions or behaviors that are not approved by the Creator worshiped by the Founders, including homosexuality, child abuse, the legal or illegal immigration of homosexuals and other collectivists, abortion, and any form of collectivism. From another perspective, the Creator honored in the *Declaration of Independence* and referred to as "our Lord" in the *Constitution* does not support collectivists' filthy, illegal, untruthful, corrupt, evil public policies. In fact, in both the *Old Testament* and *New Testament* the Creator favors the death penalty for people who support the same or substantially similar policies. Consequently, virtually every component of the Democratic Party platform and every other kind of collectivism requires a constitutional amendment.

Sadly, however, collectivist public officials continue to adopt and support the filthiest, most abusive, unscientific, and destructive public policy imaginable. And their purpose is to destroy America's tradition of real freedom and to punish conservatives in other ways, including making life in America far less enjoyable for conservatives. Why? Because collectivists failed, *without the use of government power*, to establish their family and tribal practices in the private realm to the extent that they stop normal heterosexuals from being normal. More specifically, collectivists have evil spirits that cause them to defy people they believe are too influential, powerful, or good-intentioned. And some of the worst, most defiant collectivist *public policy* encourages, prolongs, and attempts to destigmatize child sex slavery, which is the filthiest, most horrific thing to ever happen in America's nearly 450-year tradition of real freedom. For example, the 2020 U.S. Supreme Court case *Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia*, the Respect For Marriage Act of 2022, laws that support "gender affirming" surgeries and other treatments, pro-abortion laws, and many other pro-homosexual laws which ignore the severe child abuse that is the origin of the behaviors of people "benefitting" from the laws. Furthermore, the public policy ignores the murder, violence, political corruption, and many other major crimes caused by the abuse.

Thankfully, however, the filthy, untruthful, unconstitutional, and unscientific policy is all that homosexuals and collectivist heterosexuals have left in America to

attempt to further their tribalism, familism, proud houses, and other personal failings. For collectivists are beyond twilight in non-institutional private society, the dominant realm, and will never achieve significant influence here. Accordingly, collectivist public officials desperately, frustratingly, and vengefully adopt the illegal public policy to irrationally defy patriotic Americans throughout the public and private realms.

Nevertheless, what patriotic Americans can rejoice about is that public officials who support the policies don't have the legal authority or public sentiment to rightfully say that private citizens should not strongly punish defiant collectivists, especially incest homosexuals. For government is incapable of putting enough fear in peoples' minds to prevent many major crimes from occurring frequently or as often as evildoers want to perpetrate the crimes. However, patriotic Americans in non-institutional private society drastically reduced the severe child abuse in America with private punishment. To be fair, *compared to fifteen years ago, there is far less severe child abuse in America because of punishment inflicted by private citizens, not government!* And it's not just the severe child abuse inflicted by the "Auschwitzers", but also the many kinds of moderate and severe child abuse perpetrated by homosexuals *before* many incest homosexuals became "Auschwitzers". Furthermore, it's certain that as soon as patriotic Americans stop strongly punishing the perpetrators of severe child abuse that the abuse will exponentially increase, which is strong justification for killing the abusers. And a major reason why the abusers seek to continue the abuse is that the abuse is at least *one* of the abusers' biggest proud houses if not *the* biggest proud house of all, thanks primarily to the exposure of the abuse and the abuser's subsequent treatment at the hands of patriotic Americans. In addition, the abusers' objective to resume or exponentially increase the severe abuse is another reason why government has no legal or otherwise rightful authority to stop private citizens from punishing the abusers.

Finally, death and other punishment inflicted by private citizens resulted in American independence and more sensible, good-intentioned, and beneficial government that more often reflects *normal* human behavior. Likewise, patriotic Americans in contemporary private society and beyond should continue to strongly punish child abusers and all forms of collectivism. Furthermore, permissible punishment undoubtedly includes death partly because the child-abusing witches and other demon-possessed people who tolerate the abusers *deserve* death for their selfish, evil, and filthy cultures. More importantly, the death penalty is consistent with the entire *Bible* and America's tradition of real freedom, which means it results in far better government and furthers the high quality of life that is the purpose of America.